



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4571 OF 2026 (GM-AC)

BETWEEN:

M/S.BAJAJ ALLIANZ GENERAL
INSURANCE CO. LTD.
GOLDEN HEIGHTS, 4TH FLOOR, NO.1/2,
59TH C CROSS, 4TH M BLOCK,
RAJAJI NAGAR, BANGALORE - 560 010
NOW KNOWN AS BAJAJ GENERAL
INSURANCE CO. LTD.,
GOLDEN HEIGHTS, 4TH FLOOR, NO.1/2,
59TH C CROSS, 4TH M BLOCK,
RAJAJI NAGAR, BANGALORE-560 010
REP. BY THE MANAGER

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. A N KRISHNA SWAMY., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SRI ROHITH
S/O SRI. B.A. RADHAKIRSHNA
AGED ABOUT 21 YEARS
RESIDING AT GANDHADAKOTE,
MADAPATTANA POST,
BAICHANAHALLI PO,
BAICHANAHALLY DISTRICT,
KODAGU, KARNATAKA - 571 234
2. SRI. M.D. SATHISH





S/O M.A. DEVAIAH
CHIKKABETTAGERI VILLAGE,
RASULPURA GUDDEHOSUR,
SOMAWARPET TALUK,
KODAGU DISTRICT - 571 236

...RESPONDENTS

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES
226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA
PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER AS PER ANNEX-D
DATED 16.08.2025 PASSED BY CHIEF JUDGE, COURT
OF SMALL CAUSES, BENGALURU ON APPLICATION
BEARING NO.2 FILED UNDER SECTION 5 OF
LIMITATION ACT AS PER ANNEX-B AND TO ALLOW I.A.
NO.1 FILED BY PETITIONER UNDER ORDER VII RULE
11(D) READ WITH SECTION 151 OF CPC READ WITH
SECTION 166(3) OF IMV ACT AS PER ANNEX-C IN MVC
NO.6072/2024 AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS
DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD



ORAL ORDER

This petition is by the Insurer who is called upon to answer a claim under Section 166 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 [for short, '*the Act*'] in the claim petition in MVC No.6072/2024 on the file of the Chief Judge, Court of Small Causes, Bangalore [for short, '*the Tribunal*']. If the claimant has filed an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 for condonation of the delay in filing the claim petition, the petitioner has filed an application under Order VII Rule 11[d] of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 read with Section 166[3] of the Act contending that the claim petition is not maintainable because it is filed beyond six months from the date of accident. The Tribunal, by the common impugned order dated 16.08.2025, has allowed the application filed by the claimant rejecting the application filed by the petitioner.



The question: whether a belated claim petition could be entertained condoning the delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 is pending with the Apex Court in SLP Nos.8412-8413/2023 and similar matters in the writ petition in WP [Civil] No. 166/2024 and connected matters. It remains undisputed that the Apex Court by its interim order dated 16.12.2025 has observed that the pendency of the writ petitions/special leave petitions before it shall not come in the way of the claim petitions being adjudicated by the Tribunals but with the stipulation that judgments shall not be finalized.

This Court is of the considered view that with the afore-stipulation, this petition must be disposed of observing that the Tribunal's impugned order will be subject to the outcome in the pending matters before the Apex Court. With this arrangement, the inquiry into the merits of the claim petition will continue, but the Tribunal will refrain from



pronouncing its judgment and award so that the decision on the merit of the claim petition otherwise will be subject to the Apex Court's decision on the afore question.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-
(B M SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE

NV
List No.: 4 Sl No.: 33