



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 24<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 4742 OF 2026 (LB-RES)**

**BETWEEN:**

SRI. M. MOHAN  
S/O LATE M.A. MUNIYAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,  
R/AT MANDURU VILLAGE,  
BANGALORE EAST TALUK,  
BANGALORE DISTRICT - 560 049.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. BASAVANNA M.D, ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA  
REP. BY SECRETARY, PANCHAYATH RAJ,  
ROOM NO. 436, 3RD FLOOR,  
3RD GATE, M.S. BUILDING,  
BANGALORE - 560 001.

2. THE PANCHAYATH DEVELOPMENT OFFICER  
MANDURU GRAMA PANCHAYATH,  
MANDURU VILLAGE,  
BANGALORE EAST TALUK,  
BANGALORE DISTRICT - 560 049.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. SPOORTHY V, HCGP)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF  
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO-DIRECT THE R-2





TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER DTD 02.08.2025 THEREBY, DIRECT THE R-2 TO PROCEED WITH THE MATTER AS PER THE ANNEX-C PRODUCED HEREWITH

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

**ORAL ORDER**

1. Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.1.
2. Sri. M.S.Devaraju, learned counsel accepts notice for respondent No.2.
3. Petitioner is before this Court seeking for the following reliefs:
  - a. *To issue writ of mandamus or any other writ/s like in any nature and to direct the respondent No. 2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner dated 02.08.2025 thereby, direct the respondent No. the 2 to proceed with the matter as per the ANNEXURE-produced herewith.*
  - b. *To grant such other relief/s as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper by considering above said facts and circumstances of case in the interest of justice and equity.*
4. The grievance of the petitioner is that the representation submitted by the petitioner on 02.08.2025 for the transfer of Khata has not been considered by respondent



No.2 and necessary orders passed. A perusal of the representation indicates that it is a physical representation, which has been submitted, which cannot be the basis for consideration of Khata transfer.

5. For the said purpose, an online application is required to be filed, accompanied by the necessary documents. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to file such an online application and directing respondent No.2 to consider the same in accordance with the timeline prescribed under the Karnataka Sakala Services Act, 2011, the petition stands ***disposed of.***

**SD/-**  
**(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

GJM  
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 6