



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 5475 OF 2025 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. PARVATHAMMA
WIFE OF LATE NARAYANAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
RESIDING AT GIDDEN HALLI VILLAGE,
DASANAPURA HOBLI,
BANGALORE NORTH TALUK,
BANGALORE URBAN DISTRICT,
PIN CODE-562 130.
2. SRI. G.N.NAGARAJU,
SON OF LATE NARAYANAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
RESIDING AT GIDDEN HALLI VILLAGE,
DASANAPURA HOBLI,
BANGALORE NORTH TALUK,
BANGALORE URBAN DISTRICT,
PIN CODE-562 130.
3. SMT. VENKATAMMA,
WIFE OF C.RAJANNA,
DAUGHTER OF LATE NARAYANAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.14, 1ST CROSS,
NEAR PALEKAMMA TEMPLE,
GAJANANA NAGAR, HEGGANAHALLI,
VISWANEEDUM POST,
BANGALORE-560 091.
4. SRI. PRAKASHA N.,
SON OF LATE NARAYANAPPA,





AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS,
RESIDING AT GIDDENHALLI VILLAGE,
DASANAPURA HOBLI,
BANGALORE NORTH TALUK,
BANGALORE URBAN DISTRICT,
PIN CODE-562 130.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SIDDAMALLAPPA P.M., ADVOCATE (ABSENT))

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF LAND REVENUE,
M.S.BUILDING,
BANGALORE-560 001.
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT,
RAMANAGARA, PIN CODE-562 159.
3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
RAMANAGARA SUB-DIVISION,
RAMANAGARA, PIN CODE-562 159.
4. THE TAHSILDAR
RAMANAGARA TALUK,
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT,
RAMANAGARA, PIN CODE-562 159.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. K.P. YOGANNA, AGA)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF
MANDAMUS AGAINST THE RESPONDENTS AND DIRECT THE
RESPONDENT NO.4 TO CONSIDER THE PETITION ANNEXURE-J
WITHIN REASONABLE PERIOD.



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

On 18.02.2026 the following order was passed:

*"Finally a week's time is granted for compliance of
office objections.*

*Failing which, list this matter on 25.02.2026 for
dismissal."*

2. Matter is called out. There is no representation
for the petitioners.

3. Office objections have not been complied with.

4. Consequently, the writ petition stands
dismissed for non-compliance of office objections.

**Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE**

HB
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 4