



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4043 OF 2026 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

M/S 63IDEAS INFOLABS PVT. LTD.,
(A COMPANY REGISTERED UNDER
THE COMPANIES ACT, 2013)
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT
INDIQUBE HELIOS BUSINESS PARK,
TOWER E, 2ND FLOOR, CHANDANA,
KADABEESANAHALLI, BENGALURU-560 103,
REP. BY ITS AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY,
MR. DENNIS JOHN AND MS.NOOPUR

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. BHARATH KUMAR V., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
THROUGH ITS SECRETARY,
HOME DEPARTMENT
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
DR.B.R. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI
BANGALORE - 560 001
2. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE
BENGALURU CITY,
HAVING OFFICE AT INFANTRY ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 001





3. THE CENTRAL CRIME BRANCH,
NEAR BMTC BUS STOP,
SHANTHINAGAR, BENGALURU - 560 026

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT.SARITHA KULKARNI, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO A. DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS HEREIN OR ANY POLICE PERSONNEL ATTACHED TO THE RESPONDENT NO. 2 / RESPONDENT NO. 3 TO STRICTLY FOLLOW THE GUIDELINES AS LAID DOWN BY THIS HON'BLE COURT IN MATTER BEARING W.P. NO. 15125/2024 VIDE ORDER DATED 19.07.2024, ANNEX-D IN SUMMONING THE PETITIONER THROUGH ITS AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE; B. DIRECTION RESTRAINING ANY POLICE OFFICIALS ATTACHED WITH THE RESPONDENT NO. 3, FROM VISITING THE OFFICE OF THE PETITIONER WITH AN INTENT OF SUMMONING THE PETITIONER ENTITY OTHERWISE THAN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GUIDELINES AS MANDATED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT IN W.P. NO. 15125/2024 VIDE ORDER DATED 19.07.2024. ANNEX-D.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD



ORAL ORDER

The petitioner's grievance is with the personnel attached to the office of the second and the third respondents calling upon its representatives to visit the police station without actually being served with any notice.

This Court has called upon Ms. Saritha Kulkarni, the learned Additional Government Advocate to secure instructions and the learned Additional Government Advocate on instructions, submits that the oral communications have only been for some preliminary enquiry. However, when queried about the permissibility of such exercise, the learned Additional Government Advocate submits that if the participation of the petitioner's representative is required in any ongoing investigation, appropriate notice as contemplated



under the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023
will be issued.

Taking this submission on record, the petition
stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(B M SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE

RB