



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

WRIT PETITION NO. 3969 OF 2026 (LB-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. B.L.CHANDRASHEKAR
S/O LAKSHMANA NAIK
AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS
RESIDING AT KUMBARADADIGE
OPPOSITE TO SHRI RAMESHWARA RICE MILL
KURUVALLI POST, THIRTHAHALLI TALUK
SHIMOGGA DISTRICT-577 432.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. GANAPATHI N.K., ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally
signed by
GEETHA P G
Location:
HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
VIDHANA SOUDHA, BANGALORE-560 004.
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
SHIMOGGA DISTRICT
SHIMOGGA-577 201.
3. THE TAHASILDAR
THIRTHALLI TALUK



THIRTHAHALLI
SHIMOGGA DISTRICT-577 432.

4. THE CHIEF OFFICER
TOWN PANCHAYATH
THIRTHAHALLI
SHIMOGGA DISTRICT-577 432.

5. PATRIC D'MELLO
S/O L.D'MELLO
AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS
RESIDENT OF "KEERTHANA"
8TH CROSS, TANK MOHALLA
SHIVAMOGGA-577 201.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. SPOORTHY V., HCGP FOR R1 TO R3;
SRI. A.NAGARAJAPPA, ADVOCATE FOR R4;
SRI. B.S.PRASAD, ADVOCATE FOR R5)

THIS PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENT NO.3 TO DISPOSE/FINALISE THE REVISION PETITION NO.M.A.G.CR 07/2022-23 UNDER ANNEXURE-G FILED BY THE PETITIONER BY ISSUING THE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THROUGH PHYSICAL HEARING/VIDEO CONFERENCING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

ORAL ORDER

1. It is submitted by the petitioner that, he has preferred Revision Petition No.M.A.G.CR 07/2022-23, which is pending on the file of respondent no.3. Respondent no.3 is not taking any interest in disposing of the said revision petition. Hence, he prays that a direction be issued to respondent no.3 to dispose of the aforementioned revision petition.
2. Respondent no.5, who is the contesting respondent has no objection for the same.
3. Hence, the following:

ORDER

- (i) Respondent no.3 is hereby directed to dispose of Revision Petition No.M.A.G.CR 07/2022-23 within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order;
- (ii) It is hereby made clear that no opinion is expressed on the merits of the case. Respondent no.3 is directed to dispose of the



matter based on the pleadings and the
evidence let in before it;

- (iii) The writ petition stands ***disposed of***
accordingly.

**SD/-
(M.I.ARUN)
JUDGE**

PGG
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 4