



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.G.PANDIT

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. V. ARAVIND

WRIT PETITION NO. 3731 OF 2026 (S-KSAT)

BETWEEN:

SRI. PRASANNA KUMAR V. K.
S/O. VEERABADRAPPA.
AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS,
JOINT DIRECTOR (DEVELOPMENT)
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF
MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION,
10TH FLOOR, V.V. TOWERS,
BENGALURU-560 001.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SIDHARTH BABURAO, ADV. FOR
SRI. V.R. SARATHY, ADV.)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT
VIKAS SOUDHA,
DR. AMEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560 001.
2. THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL AND
ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BANGALORE-560 001.
3. THE DIRECTOR
OF MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION

Digitally signed by
NANJUNDACHARI
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



10TH FLOOR, V.V. TOWERS,
DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560 001.

4. SRI. H.E. KRISHNAMURTHY,
S/O. LATE. H.K.ERRAPPA GOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS,
WORKING AS JOINT DIRECTOR,
R/AT NO.463, 11TH B MAIN,
17TH CROSS, 5TH BLOCK,
JAYANAGAR,
BENGALURU -560 041.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. V SHIVAREDDY, AGA FOR R1 TO R3
SRI A VISHWANATH BHAT, ADV. FOR C/R4)

THIS PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO A. ISSUE AN APPROPRIATE WRIT ORDER OR DIRECTION IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI QUASHING THE IMPUGNED ORDER PASSED IN A. NO.4581/2025, DATED 29TH JANUARY 2025 (ANNEXURE-A) INsofar AS ALLOWING THE APPLICATION PREFERRED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT AND CONSEQUENTLY DISMISSED THE APPLICATION IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.G.PANDIT
AND
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. V. ARAVIND



ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.G.PANDIT)

Learned counsel Sri.Sidharth Baburao appearing on behalf of Sri.V.R.Sarathy, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the subsequent development after filing the writ petition, the writ petition would no more survive for consideration.

2. Submission of the learned counsel is placed on record.

3. Writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

**Sd/-
(S.G.PANDIT)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(K. V. ARAVIND)
JUDGE**