



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 3219 OF 2026 (GM-POLICE)

BETWEEN:

CHANDRAN P

S/O LATE KRISHNAN P.

AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS

R/AT LAXMI NILAYA

NEAR AUTO STAND, CHIKKANAHALLI

ARE HALLI HOBALI, BELUR TALUK,

HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 115

ALSO RESIDING AT

JKR BHAVANA NO.155,

3RD FLOOR, DOOR NO. A4

NETHRAVATHI COLONY.

10TH MAIN. 7TH CROSS,

MARUTHI NAGAR, MALLESHPALYA

OPP. TO BE AND MAKE

SWAMY VIVEKANANDA YOGA CENTRE.

BENGALURU - 560 075

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI.SACHIN NAIK, ADVOCATE FOR

SRI. S.RAJASHEKAR., ADVOCATE)





AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
DEPARTMENT OF HOME
VIDHANA SOUDHA, DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560 001,
REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
R.C ROAD, OPP. GOVT. HOSPITAL,
HASSAN- 573201.
3. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
HALEBIDU CIRCLE, BELUR TOWN,
HASSAN DISTRICT-573 134.
4. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
AREHALLI POLICE STATION,
BELUR TALUK, HASSAN DISTRICT. - 573101
5. GOPINATHA K.R.
S/O LATE G V RUDHRA SHETTY.
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
R/AT DATTAGALLI M BLOCK,
VEEVEKANANDA NAGARA,
MYSURU CITY - 570 023
6. SOMASHEKAR K.R
S/O LATE G V RUDHRA SHETTY
AGED ABOUT 72 YEARS
AGNIHAMSA ROAD,



KUVEMPU NAGARA
MYSURU CITY - 570 023.

7. MAHESH K. R
S/O LATE G V RUDHRA SHETTY
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
R/AT KOGODU VILLAGE,
AREHALLI HOBLI,
BELUR TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT-573 125

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.B.RAVINDRANATH, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES
226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA
PRAYING TO DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT NOS. 2 TO
4 TO CONSIDER THE COMPLAINT/REPRESENTATION
DATED 11.11.2025 AND 02.12.2025 SUBMITTED BY
THE PETITIONER AND TO TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION
STRICTLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW VIDE
ANNEXURES - A AND B AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY
HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS
UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD



ORAL ORDER

The petitioner seeks directions to the jurisdictional Police to act upon his representation and extend protection. The petitioner relies upon an order of *ex parte* temporary injunction. Sri Sachin K Naik, the learned counsel for the petitioner, and Sri B.Ravindranath, the learned Additional Government Advocate, who is called upon to accept notice for the respondents, are heard for final disposal of the petition.

Indeed the petitioner has the advantage of an *ex parte* interim order in the suit in OS No.405/2025 on the file of the Civil Judge and JMFC, Beluru, but for this Court to intervene and direct the jurisdictional Police to extend help, this Court opines that the petitioner must first request appropriate orders from the civil Court as this Court, which is seized of the controversy, could examine all circumstances and decide on whether there can be



directions to the jurisdictional Police. As such, the petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner, subject to all just exceptions, to file an application in the pending suit.

Sd/-
(B M SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE

NV
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 48