



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 21ST DAY OF APRIL, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 143 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

1. SMT M UMADEVI
W/O DR N RAMAIAH
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
RESIDING AT FLAT NO. 004
GROUND FLOOR, D BLOCK
SANKALP SQUARE
OFF OUTER RING ROAD
RMV 2ND STAGE, DEVINAGAR MAIN ROAD
BENGALURU - 560 094.

...COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI HEMANTH S., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SMT. PALLAVI K.R.
THE JOINT COMMISSIONER (EAST) ZONE
GREATER BENGALURU AUTHORITY/
BRUHAT BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE
ASHOK NAGAR, MAYOHALL
BENGALURU - 560 001

...ACCUSED

(BY SRI JAGADEESWARA N.R., ADVOCATE)





THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTION 11 AND 12 OF CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT, 1971 PRAYING TO INITIATE CIVIL CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE ACCUSED FOR WILLFUL DISOBEDIENCE OF THE ORDER DATED 04.10.2023 PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT IN W.P.NO. 16979/2023 & ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE)

1. The complainant has filed the present complaint alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 04.10.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in W.P.No.16979/2023 (LB-BMP). In terms of the said order, the petition is allowed and the orders impugned in the said petition which were for cancelling the complainant's names in the Khata was set aside. The matter was remanded to the accused for fresh consideration from the stage as was obtaining on 02.03.2023. A plain reading of the



order dated 04.10.2023 indicates that the court had accepted the complainant's contention that the proceedings before the accused were adjourned on 02.03.2023 and thereafter he was not afforded an opportunity to be heard.

2. In compliance with the said order, the proceedings were continued from the date as on 02.03.2023 and the order dated 18.03.2026 has been passed. A copy of the same has been placed on record, although it is in vernacular language.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the complainant submits that since the orders dated 05.07.2023 and 07.07.2023, which were impugned (in WP.No.16979/2023) had been set aside, it was necessary for the authorities to revert the khata in the name of the complainant.

4. It is not necessary to examine this contention in view of the fresh order dated 18.03.2026.

5. The complaint is accordingly closed.

6. We, however clarify that in the event the appellant is aggrieved by the order dated 18.03.2026, this order will not



preclude the complainant from availing his remedies, albeit in accordance with law.

7. Pending applications stand disposed of.

Sd/-
(VIBHU BAKHRU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
(C.M. POONACHA)
JUDGE

SD
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 1