



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 9<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF JUNE, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH**

**CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.208 OF 2026**

**BETWEEN:**

1. SRI LAKSHMIPATHY D.,  
S/O DORESWAMY,  
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS,  
OWNER OF VIJAYA TOURS AND TRAVELS,  
ANTARASANAHALI, WARD NO.02,  
TUMAKURU-572 106.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. M.C.HARISH KUMAR, ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. SRI UMESH B.,  
S/O BOOPARAJAPPA T.,  
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS  
R/AT NO.12, SKANDA  
1<sup>ST</sup> MAIN, SAMPANGI LAYOUT  
PARAPPANA AGRAHARA  
ELECTRONIC CITY POST  
BENGALURU - 100.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. VENUPRASAD R., ADVOCATE)

THIS CRL.RP IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C  
PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT AND  
ORDER OF CONVICTION AND SENTENCE DATED 25.06.2025  
PASSED IN CC NO.28989/2018 PENDING ON THE FILE OF THE  
XIX ADDL. CJM AT BENGALURU CITY AND REMAND BACK  
FRESH TRIAL AND TO SET ASIDE THE IMPUGNED ORDER  
DATED 29.12.2025 BY THE LXVII ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND





SESSIONS JUDGE AT BENGALURU (CCH-68) IN CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1251/2025.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH

**ORAL ORDER**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that conditional order dated 05.02.2026 passed while suspending the sentence is not complied with. Hence, question of entertaining the revision petition does not arise, when the conditional order has not been complied with and no application is filed for extension of time and so also no Demand Draft is produced in compliance of the conditional order passed by this Court.

Accordingly, the revision petition is dismissed in view of non-compliance of conditional order passed by this Court and also revision petition is not yet admitted.

**Sd/-**  
**(H.P.SANDESH)**  
**JUDGE**

ST  
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 9