

**WA NO. 8227/2012 (ULC) Connected Cases: WA NO.
8416/2012**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

**[C JAYARAM AND OTHERS VS. STATE OF KARNATAKA AND
OTHERS]**

11.03.2026

(VIDEO CONFERENCING / PHYSICAL HEARING)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI)

Heard Sri.Manmohan P.N., the learned counsel for the appellants, Smt. Prathiba R.K., learned Additional Government Advocate for respondent Nos.1 and 2, Sri.T.P.Vivekananda, learned counsel for respondent No.3 in W.A.No.8227/2012.

Judgment reserved.

After the above order was passed, one counsel namely Sri.Prajwal Patil, suddenly appeared online and stated that he is appearing for the subsequent allottee - respondent Nos.4(a-c) and the case be adjourned because a Senior counsel will be engaged by him.

We decline to accede to that request, inasmuch as, he did not appear when the matter was called out and the matter was being heard for nearly two hours, and as soon as we started to dictate this order, he switched on his camera to appear online. He was apparently following the proceeding throughout. As such, the request is declined.

The synopsis of submissions on behalf of the Mysore Urban Development Authority is already on record. The learned counsel for the appellants as well as the learned AGA are permitted to file a synopsis within one week. We also permit the counsel for respondent Nos.4(a-c) to file a synopsis of submissions within one week.

**(JAYANT BANERJI)
JUDGE**

**(RAJESH RAI K)
JUDGE**