



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 1393 OF 2026 (482(Cr.PC) /
528(BNSS)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. SAMEENA BHANU
W/O AMZAD PASHA
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS
2. SMT. SHAHINA BI
W/O ABDUL KHALAQ @ KHALAQ
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS
3. MR. VASEEM
S/O ABDUL KHALAQ @ KHALAQ
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS
4. SMT. SAFEENA BHANU
W/O MOHAMMAD RAEEQ
AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS,

PETITIONERS 1 TO 4 ABOVE ARE
RESIDING IN HEGGERE, NEW EXTENSION,
SIDDHARTH NAGARA POST, TUMKUR TALUK,
TUMKUR DISTRICT, KARNATAKA - 572 107.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. S.B.LAKSHMAMMA, ADVOCATE)





AND:

1. STATE BY GUBBI POLICE STATION
TUMKUR, KARNATAKA - 572 107
(BY LEARNED PUBLIC PROSECUTOR)

2. AYESHA KHANAM
AGED ABOUT 26 YEARS
RESIDING IN KONEMADANAHALLI,
KADABA HOBLI, GUBBI TALUK
TUMKUR - 572 219.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VINAY MAHADEVAIAH, HCGP FOR R1)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S.482(FILED U/S.528 BNSS) OF
CR.P.C PRAYING TO QUASH THE ENTIRE PROCEEDINGS
AGAINST THE ACCUSED/PETITIONER ARISING OUT IN
S.C.NO.174/2024 FOR THE ALLEGED OFFENCE P/US/ 306, 34,
506 OF IPC, CURRENTLY PENDING BEFORE THE 2nd ADDL
DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, TUMAKURU.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA**

ORAL ORDER

The petitioners are before this Court seeking the following prayers:

- "a. Kindly quash the entire proceedings against the accused/petitioner's arising out in SC.No.174/2024 for the alleged offence of sections 306, 34 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('the IPC' for short). Currently pending before the 2nd Additional District and Sessions Judge, Tumkuru.
- b. To pass any other order which deem fit in the light of justice and equity."

2. Sri. S.B. Lakshamma, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and Sri. Vinay Mahadevaiah, learned High Court Government Pleader appearing for respondent No.1.

3. The learned High Court Government Pleader on verification of the records or even the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit and admit that charges have been framed in the case at hand and the trial is on.

4. The trial now progressed to a large extent would not mean that this Court would entertain the petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.



5. The learned counsel submits that there is a good case on merits, as there is no abetment to suicide, as the petitioners were not even present in the said place. All these would become a matter of evidence in the light of the trial being at the stage of evidence.

6. The learned counsel submits that the second petitioner must be exempted from appearance, as she is 63 years old. If that be so, it is for the second petitioner to file a necessary application before the concerned Court seeking exemption and the concerned Court shall consider the same in accordance with law and pass necessary orders thereon.

7. With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands ***disposed.***

**Sd/-
(M.NAGAPRASANNA)
JUDGE**

JY
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 23