



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. NATARAJ

WRIT PETITION NO. 17476 OF 2018 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

NARAYAN K.S.
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
S/O SRINIVAS IYENGAR
RESIDING AT HARAPANAHALLI
JIGANI HOBLI
BANGALORE RURAL - 562 106.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. P.P.HEGDE, SENIOR ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. VENKATESH SOMAREDDI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY THE SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SURYANAGARA POLICE STATION
ANEKAL TALUK
REPRESENTED BY THE LEARNED
STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
BANGALORE - 560 001.
2. SRI. PRASAD
AGE: MAJOR
MEMBER SECRETARY
ANEKAL PLANNING AUTHORITY
R/AT PLANNING AUTHORITY
BUILDING NO.430,
HENAGAR GATE,
HOSUR MAIN ROAD,
BANGALORE - 560 099.
3. SHANMUKHAPPA
AGE: MAJOR





HUSBAND OF SUJATHA
RESIDING AT 43, 17TH CROSS,
15TH MAIN, 5TH PHASE
JP NAGAR, BANGALORE - 560 078

4. NARAYANAPPA B
AGE : MAJOR
S/O LATE BUTHIAH
R/AT NO.41, 28/11
2ND MAIN, 'B' BLOCK, 2ND PHASE,
RAJAJINAGAR,
BANGALORE - 560 021.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. M.R.PATIL, HIGH COURT GOVERNMENT PLEADER FOR
RESPONDENT NO.1;

SRI. SANTOSH P., ADVOCATE FOR RESPONDENT NO.2;

SRI. K. DIWAKARA, ADVOCATE FOR SRI. ADITYA D., ADVOCATE FOR
RESPONDENT NOS.3 AND 4)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227
OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH SECTION 482 OF THE
CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, 1973 PRAYING TO QUASH THE FIR
IN CRIME NO.142/2018 VIDE ANNEXURE-V DATED 13.04.2018 OF
SURYANAGARA POLICE STATION, ANEKAL TALUK FOR THE
OFFENCES PUNISHABLE UNDER SECTION 465, 466, 468, 471 AND
420 OF THE INDIAN PENAL CODE AND PENDING ON THE FILE OF
THE PRINCIPAL CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC (SR.DN) AT ANEKAL AND
ALL FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OF THE SAID CASE IN SO FAR AS THE
PETITIONER HEREIN IS CONCERNED.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR FURTHER HEARING, THIS
DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. NATARAJ



ORAL ORDER

The petitioner has challenged an FIR drawn by the respondent No.1 in Crime No.142/2018 for the offences punishable under Sections 465, 466, 468, 471 and 420 of IPC pending on the file of the Principal Civil Judge and JMFC (Sr. Dvn.), Anekal.

2. During the pendency of this petition, the respondent No.1/police have filed a charge sheet which is challenged in W.P.No.19924/2021. In that view of the matter, this petition does not survive for consideration.

3. Hence, this petition is ***dismissed***. However, contentions urged in this petition is permitted to be urged in W.P.No.19924/2021.

**Sd/-
(R. NATARAJ)
JUDGE**