



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 1146 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

1. AMOGH POTHURAJU
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS,
S/O MADHUSUDANA RAO POTHURAJU,
RESIDING AT NO. 197, DEFENCE COLONY,
SAHAKARNAGAR, BENGALURU 560092.
2. PRAMEETHA ADONI
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
W/O P. MADHUSUDANA RAO,
RESIDING AT NO. 197,
DEFENCE COLONY,
SAHAKARNAGAR,
BENGALURU 560092.
3. P.MADHUSUDANA RAO POTHURAJU,
AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS,
S/O PEDANNA POTHURAJU,
RESIDING AT NO. 197, DEFENCE COLONY,
SAHAKARNAGAR, BENGALURU 560092.
4. ABHINAV POTHURAJU
AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS,
S/O MADHUSUDHAN RAO POTHURAJU,
RESIDING AT NO. 197, DEFENCE COLONY,
SAHAKARNAGAR, BENGALURU 560092.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. MOHITH KUMAR K S., ADVOCATE)



AND:

1. STATE BY KODIGEHALLI POLICE STATION.,
THINDLU MAIN ROAD, KODIGEHALLI,
BENGALURU 560092. REP. BY
THE STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,
BANGALORE 560 001.
P.S. PHONE NO 94808081422.
EMAIL ID KODIGEHALLIPS@KSP.GOV.IN
2. T. H PRIYANKA
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS,
D/O T. HULUGAPPA,
33RD WARD, BANADH KERI,
NEAR SHANKARAMMA TEMPLE,
HOSAPETE 583201,
VIJAYANAGAR DISTRICT, KARNATAKA.
PHONE NUMBER 91-6363353834.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.CHANNAPPA EARAPPA, HCGP FOR R1)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED UNDER SECTION 482 CR.P.C (U/S 528 BNSS) PRAYING TOa) QUASH THE ORDER DTD 24.12.2025 PASSED BY THE VII ACJM, COURT, BENGALURU CITY, TAKING COGNIZANCE OF THE OFFENCES P/U/S 498(A),323,504,506 R/W 34 OF IPC, AGAINST THE PETITIONER NOS.1 TO 4 IN CC.NO.50320/2025.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA

ORAL ORDER

The petitioners – accused Nos.1 to 4 are before this Court calling in question an order dated 24.12.2025, passed by the VII Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate Court (7th ACJM),



Bengaluru, taking cognizance of the offences punishable under Sections 498A, 323, 504, 506 r/w. 34 of the IPC, in C.C.No.50320/2025.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners and respondent No.2 in unison submit that the parties to the *lis* were before the family Court in M.C.No.130/2025 and have settled their matrimonial dispute amicably by drawing up certain terms and conditions before the mediation center and have parted their ways. Therefore, they are before this Court seeking permission to compound the offences. They have filed a photocopy of the Memorandum of Agreement filed under Section 89 of the CPC read with Rules 24 and 25 of the Karnataka Civil Procedure (Mediation) Rule, 2005. before this Court to that effect.

3. Learned counsel for petitioners hands over a demand draft of Rs.3/- lakhs to respondent No.2 – complainant and the same is acknowledged by respondent No.2 – complainant, who is present in presence.

4. The memorandum of agreement reads as follows:

"I. The aforesaid petition was referred to mediation for resolving the dispute between the parties. During the course of mediation, they have resolved their



dispute and have agreed to the following terms and conditions:

- 1) Both parties (Petitioners) are Hindus by religion. Their marriage was solemnised on 20.11.2022 at SLV Kalyna Mantapa, Hosapete,
- 2) The marriage was arranged by the elders of both of them as per their rites and customs prevailing in their community.
- 3) Both parties (Petitioner No.1 and 2) stated that they have no issues.
- 4) Both the Petitioners admitted that, they started living Separately since from 09.11.2023. Due to the xxxx difference and in-Capability of temperament, they have been living separately. The efforts made by, elders well wishers and relatives to re-unite them and to bring them under one roof as wife and husband, but did not yield any fruitful result.
- 5) Even during the course of mediation, despite best the petitioners could not reconcile their differences and join together.
- 6) Now the Petitioners herein voluntarily and out of their own free will and without any coercion or undue influence mutually agree and consent each other for the dissolution of their marriage by decree of divorce.

II. Both parties (Petitioners No.1 and 2) have agree for following conditions/Agreement:-

- 1) During the course of mediation both parties have mutually and amicably settled all the disputes between them as per the each petitioner declare that, they have no claim whatsoever against each other either at future or in relating to any permanent



alimony or maintenance movable or immovable property.

- 2) Both the petitioners voluntarily withdrawn the allegations made against each other.
- 3) Both the petitioners undertake that, they will not in any way interfere with lives each other in future.
- 4) Both parties undertake that, they have withdrawn the cases as mentioned in Petition.
- 5) The Petitioner No.1 is agreed t to pay for sum of Rs.7,00,000/- to the 2nd petitioner as permanent alimony and 2nd petitioner agreed to same, to day 1st petitioner as given Sum Rs.4,00,000/- through DD, DD bearing No.524963, state bank of India, Sahakar Nagar, Branch, Bengaluru in the name of 2nd petitioner and same received by the 2nd petitioner.
- 6) The 1st petitioner agreed to pay sum Rs.3,00,000/- after quashing the Criminal case No.308/2024 registered at Kodigehalli Police station, Bengaluru. Then 1st petitioner filing quash proceeding, after getting Final order from the Hon'ble High court of Karnataka, Benagluru, then the 2nd petitioner is entitled received balance amount Rs.3,00,000/- from the 1st petitioner.

III. In view of the aforesaid agreement entered into between the parties (Petitioner No.1 and 2) the Petitioner No.1 and 2 prayed that the Hon'ble Court may be pleased to dissolve the marriage solemnised on 20.11.2022 at SLV Kalyana Mantapa, Hosapete, as per the Hindu Rites and Customs by granting a Decree of Divorce by mutual consent.

IV. The parties (Petitioner No.1 and 2) will appear before the Hon'ble Court on 26.11.2025 at 11.00



A.M. for passing the order/decreed in terms of the above said agreement."

In the light of the fact that the parties have arrived at settlement and since it is a marital dispute, I deem it appropriate to accept the terms as afore-quoted and terminate the proceedings against the petitioners, as the allegations are not against the State.

5. For the aforesaid reasons, I pass the following:

ORDER

- (i) The criminal petition is disposed.
- (ii) The order dated 24.12.2025, passed by the VII Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate Court (7th ACJM), Bengaluru, taking cognizance of the offences punishable under Sections 498A, 323, 504, 506 r/w. 34 of the IPC, in C.C.No.50320/2025 and the proceedings in C.C.No.50320/2025 stand quashed.

**Sd/-
(M.NAGAPRASANNA)
JUDGE**

NVJ
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 91