



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

WRIT PETITION NO. 2534 OF 2026 (LB-BMP)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. M.KRISHNAPPA
S/O LATE MUNISWAMAPPA
AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS
R/O NO.21, 7TH A CROSS
(FORMERLY 7TH CROSS)
L.B.S NAGAR, II STAGE
INDIRA NAGAR
BENGALURU-560 038.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. V.JAVAHAR BABU, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER
GREATER BENGALURU AUTHORITY
(FORMERLY BBMP)
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER
GBA, N.R. SQUARE, BENGALURU-560 002.
2. THE COMMISSIONER
GREATER BENGALURU AUTHORITY
(FORMERLY BBMP)
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER
GBA, N.R. SQUARE, BENGALURU-560 002.
3. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
GREATER BENGALURU AUTHORITY-(CENTRAL)
(FORMERLY BBMP)
C.V. RAMAN NAGAR DIVISION





OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
1ST CROSS, 11TH 'H' MAIN ROAD
KASTURINAGAR, BENGALURU-560 043.

4. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
GREATER BENGALURU AUTHORITY-(CENTRAL)
(FORMERLY BBMP)
JEEVAN BHEEMANAGAR SUB-DIVISION
OFFICE OF THE
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
4TH MAIN ROAD, 6TH CROSS
INDIRANAGAR 1ST STAGE
BENGALURU-560 038.

5. SRI. B.N.CHANDRASHEKAR
S/O LATE NARAYANASWAMY
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS
R/O NO.22, 7TH 'A' CROSS
(FORMERLY 7TH CROSS)
L.B.S NAGAR, II STAGE
INDIRA NAGAR, BENGALURU-560 038.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PAWAN KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1 TO R4;
NOTICE TO R5 IS DISPENSED WITH)

THIS PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO CALL FOR THE RECORDS AND ISSUE A WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR ANY OTHER SUITABLE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION, DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS 1 TO 4 HEREIN TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION DATED 28.11.2025, VIDE ANNEXURE-J SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER AND CONSEQUENTLY TO TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION AGAINST THE RESPONDENT NO.5 AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THROUGH PHYSICAL HEARING/VIDEO CONFERENCING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN



ORAL ORDER

1. The petitioner made a representation to respondent nos.1 to 4-Greater Bengaluru Authority (GBA), wherein he has alleged that respondent no.5, who is his neighbour has put up construction in violation of building bye-laws and the sanctioned plan and action has to be initiated against him. However, GBA has issued notice to the petitioner alleging that petitioner himself has put up construction in violation of building bye-laws. Aggrieved by the same, the present petition is filed with a prayer to direct the GBA to act against respondent no.5 and quash the proceedings initiated against the petitioner herein.

2. Learned counsel for GBA upon instructions, submits that nobody has a right to put up construction in violation of building bye-laws and the sanctioned plan. He further submits that the representation of the petitioner will be acted upon and after due notice to respondent no.5 and giving him an opportunity of hearing as contemplated in law, if it is found that respondent no.5 has put up construction in



violation of building bye-laws and sanctioned plan, action will be initiated against him. He further submits prima facie it is seen that petitioner also has put up construction in violation of building bye-laws and the sanctioned plan and hence, the impugned notice at Annexure-N to the writ petition is issued against the petitioner and if the petitioner were to satisfy that building is constructed by him in accordance with law, then no action would be initiated against him. He also submits that petitioner is also having the liberty to seek for a modified sanction plan if the same is permissible under law and even that would be considered. He categorically submits that respondent nos.1 to 4-GBA has no bias either against the petitioner or respondent no.5 and action against both petitioner and respondent no.5 will be initiated only in accordance with law and not otherwise. He also submits that whatever orders have been so far passed against respondent no.5 will also be taken into consideration while initiating further actions. His submission is placed on record.

3. The writ petition is ***disposed of*** by issuing a direction to respondent nos.1 to 4 to act in accordance with the



**NC: 2026:KHC:22528
WP No. 2534 of 2026**

statement made by learned counsel for respondent nos.1 to
4 in paragraph no.2 cited supra.

**Sd/-
(M.I.ARUN)
JUDGE**

PGG
List No.: 1 SI No.: 2