



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 9<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF JUNE, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH**

**CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.131 OF 2026**

**BETWEEN:**

1. YAAHVI VENTURES  
A PARTNERSHIP FIRM INCORPORATED  
UNDER PARTNERSHIP ACT  
HAVING ITS OFFICE AT NO.371  
2ND FLOOR, TADIMALLAS  
13TH MAIN ROAD  
RMV EXTENTION  
BENGALURU-560 096  
REPRESENTED BY  
SRI. VASUDEVAMURTHY N.
2. VASUDEVAMURTHY N.,  
PARTNER  
S/O LATE NARAYANAPPA  
AGED 68 YEARS  
R/AT. NO.371, 2ND FLOOR  
TADIMALLAS 13TH MAIN ROAD  
RMV EXTENTION,  
BENGALURU-560 096.
3. V. NEHAL  
PARTNER  
S/O VASUDEVAMURTHY N  
AGED 33 YEARS  
R/AT NO.371, 2ND FLOOR  
TADIMALLAS  
13TH MAIN ROAD  
RMV EXTENTION,  
BENGALURU-560 096.





4. PADMAVATHI K.,  
PARTNER  
W/O VASUDEVAMURTHY N  
AGED 60 YEARS,  
R/AT NO.371, 2ND FLOOR  
TADIMALLAS 13TH MAIN ROAD,  
RMV EXTENTION  
BENGALURU-560 096.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. MOHAN KUMAR M.E., ADVOCATE - ABSENT)

**AND:**

1. M.L. PRAKASH RAO  
S/O LATE DR. M.G. LAKSHMAN RAO  
AGED 78 YEARS  
R/AT NO.35, SRIKANTAN LAYOUT  
HIGH GROUNDS  
BENGALURU-560 001  
REPRESENTED BY HIS GPA HOLDER  
SMT. NAGARATHNA PRAKASH RAO  
W/O M L PRAKASH RAO.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. AJAY J. NANDALIKE, ADVOCATE)

THIS CRL.RP IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 OF CR.PC (FILED U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER OF CONVICTION AND SENTENCE AND FINE IMPOSED BY THE LD XV ADDL.CMM DATED 29.08.2024 IN CC NO.33576/2021 AND JUDGMENT DATED 24.10.2025 IN CRL.A NO.1558/2024 PASSED BY THE LXVI ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE (CCH-67), BY CONFIRMING THE JUDGMENT PASSED BY THE XV ADDL. CMM DATED 29.08.2024 IN CC NO.33576/2021 AND FURTHER BE PLEASED TO ACQUIT THE PETITIONERS BY ALLOWING THIS APPEAL.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY,  
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:  
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH



**ORAL ORDER**

Learned counsel for the petitioners is absent.

2. This Court vide order dated 11.02.2026 suspended sentence, subject to depositing 50% of fine amount before the Trial Court which shall be inclusive of the amount already deposited, if any within a period of four weeks and the same has not been complied with. Hence, the question of considering the revision petition does not arise, in view of non-compliance of conditional order passed by this Court.

3. Accordingly, the revision petition is dismissed, in view of non-compliance of conditional order passed by this Court and the appeal is also not yet admitted.

**Sd/-  
(H.P.SANDESH)  
JUDGE**

ST  
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 3