



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 89 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

SMT. CHANDRIKA
WIFE OF LATE B G MANJUNATH,
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,
HOUSE WIFE, NO. 75/A, 4TH CROSS,
NELLISARA, SHANKARAGHATTA,
BHADRAVATHI,
SHIVAMOGGA DISTRICT - 577129

...COMPLAINANT

(BY MR. NAVEEN SHANKAR B, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. HIEMATHAD MAHESHIAH RUDRAYYA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SRI CHANDRASHEKARAIHAH
SECRETARY OF SHRI TEGGINAMATHA
ARTS AND EDUCATION SOCIETY
HARAPANAHALLI, DAVANAGERE - 583131
2. SRI NANJUNDA SWAMY
PRINCIPAL
TMAES, INDUSTRIAL TRAINING INSTITUTE,
HIRIYUR, CHITRADURGA - 577598
3. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPLE SECRETARY,
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
VIDHANA SOUDHA,





AMBEDKAR VEEDHI
BANGALORE - 560001.

...ACCUSED

(BY SMT. SARITHA KULKARNI, AGA FOR R3
A1 & A2 ARE SERVED & UNREPRESENTED)

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTIONS 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, BY THE COMPLAINANT, PRAYING TO INITIATE CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE ACCUSED FOR THE WILLFUL DISOBEDIENCE OF THE ORDER PASSED BY THIS HONBLE COURT IN WP NO.6342/2024 (S-RES) DATED 02.08.2024 AND PUNISH THEM IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE)

1. The complainant has filed the present complaint alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 02.08.2024, passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in Writ Petition No.6342/2024 (S-RES). By the said order, the learned Single Judge had directed Shri Tegginamatha Arts and Education Society as well as the TMAES, Industrial Training Institute, to take all steps for release of the "death benefits, gratuity and family pension" to the complainant.



2. An affidavit of compliance has been filed, *inter alia*, affirming that the said benefits have been ordered and be paid by an order dated 15.12.2025.

3. The learned counsel for the complainant acknowledges the same. He, however, submits that the leave encashment has not been paid.

4. We find there is no specific direction for payment of the leave encashment. Therefore, we do not consider it apposite to initiate any proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. However, the complainant would be at liberty to lodge the claim for leave encashment. Needless to state that, the same would be considered.

5. The complaint is, accordingly, closed.

Sd/-
(VIBHU BAKHRU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
(C.M. POONACHA)
JUDGE