



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 25<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF MARCH, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. NATARAJ**

**CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 1234 OF 2019**

**BETWEEN:**

1. MUNISHAMANNA  
S/O. LATE GIDDAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,
2. N. LATHA  
W/O. MUNISHAMANNA,  
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
3. C. M. ALOK  
S/O. MUNISHAMANNA,  
AGED ABOUT 25 YEARS,

ALL ARE RESIDING AT  
CHIMANDANAHALLI VILLAGE,  
KASABA HOBLI,  
HOSKOTE TALUK-562 114,  
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. B.R. RAMESH, ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. STATE BY HOSKOTE POLICE STATION,  
HOSKOTE CIRCLE,  
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT,  
REPRESENTED BY ITS STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,  
HIGH COURT BUILDINGS, BENGALURU-560 001.

Digitally  
signed by  
SUMA  
Location:  
HIGH  
COURT OF  
KARNATAKA



2. BASAVARAJ  
S/O. B. BASAVIAH,  
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,  
R/AT NO. 82, 5<sup>TH</sup> CROSS,  
RAMAKRISHNA MAIN ROAD,  
M.V. EXTENSION, HOSKOTE-562 114,  
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.M.R.PATIL, HCGP FOR R1;  
SRI.S.A.SUDHINDRA, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED UNDER SECTION 482 OF THE CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, 1973 BY THE ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER PRAYING THAT THIS HON'BLE COURT MAY BE PLEASED TO QUASH THE COMPLAINT AND FIR INITIATED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT POLICE IN TERMS OF COMPLAINTS LODGED BY THE 2<sup>nd</sup> RESPONDENT IN CRIME NO.22/2019 FOR THE OFFENCE P/U/S 420, 465, 468, 471, 504 AND 506 OF IPC, VIDE AT ANNEXURE-A AND B, BEFORE THE PRINCIPAL CIVIL JUDGE (JR.DN) AND JMFC AT HOSAKOTE, BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. NATARAJ

**ORAL ORDER**

The petitioners have challenged the proceedings initiated against them in Crime No.0022/2019 registered by the respondent No.1 for offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 468, 471, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.



2. When this petition is listed for admission, it is brought to the notice of the Court that a charge sheet is already filed and the petitioners have challenged the charge sheet in Crl.P.No.54/2022.

3. In that view of the matter, this petition does not survive for consideration. Accordingly, Crl.P.No.1234/2019 is ***dismissed.***

4. Pending IA's, if any, shall stand disposed of.

**Sd/-**  
**(R. NATARAJ)**  
**JUDGE**