



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL NO.600 OF 2025 (CPC)

BETWEEN:

M/S SRI SAI ASSOCIATES,
A REGISTERED PARTNERSHIP FIRM
LOCATED AT NO.11, SRI SAI NIVAS,
1ST CROSS, BANAGIRINAGAR,
BSK III STAGE, BENGALURU - 560085
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING PARTNER
SRI V VENKATESH

...APPELLANT

(BY SMT. NANDITHA, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. VIVEK HOLLA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SMT. SHANTHALA SASTRY
WIFE OF LATE MVS SASTRY
AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS,
RESIDENT AT NO.22, HASIRU THORANA
OPP TO CONCORDE NAPA VALLEY
PATTAREDDY PALAYA,
KAGGALIPURA DHAKALE,
KANAKAPURA MAIN ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560082.
2. SMT. CHANDRIKA S
WIFE OF LATE K SURESH
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
RESIDENT AT 81N, 4TH MAIN,
BIKASIPURA, SUBRAMANYAPURA
BENGALURU - 560061





3. SHRI R SHASHANK
SON OF LATE N.RAJU,
AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.17/1,
KAMDHENU NILAYA,
3RD CROSS, R K LAYOUT,
PADMANABHANAGAR
BENGALURU - 560076

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. ABHINAV R., ADVOCATE AND
SRI. VIVEK B.N., ADVOCATE FOR C/R3)

THIS MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL IS FILED UNDER
ORDER 43 RULE 1(r) OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE,
1908.

THIS MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL IS LISTED FOR
ORDERS, THIS DAY, THE JUDGMENT IS DELIVERED AS UNDER:

ORAL JUDGMENT

Smt.Nanditha, counsel on behalf of Sri.Vivek Holla, for
the appellant appeared in person.

2. The appeal is listed today for orders regarding non
compliance with office objections for the seventh time. Counsel
for the appellant seeks time to comply with the office
objections.

3. This Court is not inclined to grant time. The reason
is apparent. A perusal of the order sheet depicts that the



matter was listed on 25.01.2025 before the Registrar (P & H), on that day, counsel for the appellant remained absent. However, three weeks time was granted to comply with the office objections. The matter was again listed on 06.03.2025, on that day, counsel for the appellant appeared and prayed for time and a week's time was granted to comply with the office objections.

The matter was again listed on 24.06.2025, on that day, counsel for the appellant remained absent, hence, the office was directed to place the entire records before the Court without fail. Again the matter was listed on 21.08.2025, on that day, a week's time was granted to comply with the office objections. Again the matter was listed on 16.10.2025, on that day, there was no representation on behalf of the appellant, hence, the office was directed to place the entire records before the Court.

4. The matter was listed before the Court on 17.12.2025 and certain order was made. Today the matter is listed for orders regarding non compliance with office objections for the seventh time. Despite the grant of time, the office



**NC: 2026:KHC:10987
MFA No. 600 of 2025**

objections are not complied with. It appears that the appellant is not interested in prosecuting the appeal. Accordingly, the appeal is ***dismissed*** for non-compliance with office objections.

**SD/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE**

SS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 29