



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

WRIT PETITION NO. 2040 OF 2026 (LB-BMP)

BETWEEN:

SMT. S. NIRMALA
W/O SRI. HARINARAYANA SINGH,
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.765/17,
7TH 'C' CROSS ROAD,
GOKUL 1ST STAGE,
2ND PHASE, MATHIKERE,
DIVANARAPALYA,
BENGALURU - 560 054.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. GIRISH M.K, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER AND
APPELLATE AUTHORITY,
GREATER BENGALURU AUTHORITY
FORMERLY BRUHATH BENGALURU
MAHANAGARA PALIKE (BBMP),
N.R.SQUARE, BENGALURU - 560 001.
2. THE ZONAL COMMISSIONER,
BRUHATH BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE,
(B.B.M.P) (WEST), MALLESWARAM,
OPP. MANTRI MALL,
BENGALURU - 560 003.





3. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
MATHIKERE SUB-DIVISION,
BRUHATH BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE
(B.B.M.P) NETHAJI CIRCLE, MATHIKERE,
BENGALURU - 560 054.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. M.R. SINCHANA, ADVOCATE OR R1 TO R3)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE ORDER TO QUASH THE BOTH IMPUGNED ORDERS IN SA KA NE HA (MATHIKERE) SIRA HA 02/24-25 DATED 07-12-2024. PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.3 HEREIN AS ANNEXURE-Q AND IN APPEAL NO.315/2024 DATED 09-12-2025 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.1 HEREIN AS ANNEXURE-R BY ALLOWING THIS WRIT PETITION AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS THROUGH VIDEO CONFRERENCING / PHYSICAL HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

ORAL ORDER

Action has been taken against the petitioner for putting up construction in violation of the building bye-



laws and the sanctioned plan. In this regard, a provisional order and a confirmatory order have been passed. Challenging the same, the petitioner preferred an appeal No.315/2024, which has also been dismissed. Aggrieved by the same, the present writ petition is filed.

2. This Court, on 25.02.2026 passed the following order:

- "1. Smt. Sinchana M.R., learned counsel accepts notice for respondent Nos.1 to 3.*
- 2. The respondents directed to file a fresh report taking into consideration the Regulations dated 05.01.2026, considering that the property is around 600 square feet, which comes under the said Regulations.*
- 3. Until next date, the respondents are restrained from taking any coercive steps against the petitioner for demolition or otherwise.*
- 4. Re-list on 07.04.2026."*

3. Pursuant to the said order, a memo dated 07.04.2026 has been filed by the learned counsel for the respondent - Greater Bengaluru Authority (for short, 'the



GBA') along with a report. It discloses that the construction put up by the petitioner is much beyond the permissible limits even according to the building bye-laws and the same cannot be regularized. Under the said circumstances, I do not see any error in the Order passed by the Appellate Authority in Appeal No.315/2024.

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the Writ Petition stands ***dismissed***.

**Sd/-
(M.I.ARUN)
JUDGE**

CH
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 35