



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 23<sup>RD</sup> DAY OF MARCH, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S RACHAIAH**

**CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 930 OF 2026 (439(Cr.PC) /**  
**483(BNSS))**

**BETWEEN:**

SRI MANJUNATHA M  
S/O. LATE. MURUGESH  
AGED ABOUT 26 YEARS  
RESIDING AT NO.10, 1<sup>ST</sup> MAIN ROAD,  
MUNESHWARA NAGAR,  
MANGAMMANAPALYA ROAD,  
BOMMANAHALLI,  
BANGALORE-560068  
ALSO AT RANGANATHAPURA VILLAGE,  
LAKKAVALLI JUNCTION, BHADRAVATHI,  
SHIVAMOGGA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. LOKESHA D.,ADVOCATE)

**AND**

STATE OF KARNATAKA  
BY BANDEPALYA POLICE STATION,  
BANGALORE DISTRICT,  
REPTD BY ITS STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA  
BENGALURU-01.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. RANGASWAMY R, HCGP)

Digitally  
signed by  
SREEDHARAN  
BANGALORE  
SUSHMA  
LAKSHMI

Location: High  
Court of  
Karnataka



THIS CRL.P IS FILED UNDER SECTION 439 CR.P.C (U/S 483 BNSS) PRAYING TO ALLOW THE PETITION BY GRANTING BAIL TO THE PETITIONER IN CR.NO.190/2025, REGISTERED BY BANDEPALYA P.S, FOR THE OFFENCES PUNISHABLE UNDER SECTIONS 109(1), 118(1), 115(2), 352 OF BNS, 2023, PENDING BEFORE THE HONBLE 9<sup>th</sup> A.C.J.M COURT AT BANGALORE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S RACHAIAH

### **ORAL ORDER**

1. This petition has been filed by the sole accused/petitioner seeking regular bail in Crime No.190/2025 of Bandepalya Police Station for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 118(1) 115(2), 352 of Bharatiya Nayay Sanhita, 2023.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 26.12.2025 at about 8.30 a.m., the brother of the complainant, after leaving his son at the school, was returning on the road. The petitioner herein had parked his vehicle near S.L.V Temple in front of Om Shakthi Temple in the middle of the road. The injured had asked the



petitioner to remove the vehicle from the place where the petitioner had parked, causing disturbance to the public. The petitioner immediately started abusing in filthy language and assaulted the back of the head of the injured. Consequently, the injured has sustained a bleeding injury. Hence, the complaint. On receiving the complaint, the respondent/police have registered the case and conducting the investigation. The investigation is in progress.

4. The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the incident had occurred on the spur of the moment. The petitioner had gone to a hotel and parked his vehicle in order to have coffee. In fact, the said parking was not causing any disturbance to the public. At that time, the injured, with an intention to assert dominance in the area, abused the petitioner in filthy language. When the petitioner questioned the injured as to why he was being abused, the injured further raised his voice. Consequently, the incident had occurred in the said



area. The two injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature, and he is out of danger. The petitioner is a permanent resident of Lakkavalli Junction, Bhadravathi, Shivamogga District, and he will abide by the conditions to be imposed by this Court. Making such a submission, he prayed to allow the petition.

5. Per contra, the learned High Court Government Pleader for the respondent/State vehemently submitted that the petitioner had parked his vehicle in a manner causing nuisance to the public. The injured, being a passerby, asked the petitioner to remove the vehicle. However, the petitioner assaulted the injured with a wooden stick on the head, as a result of which, the injured sustained injuries. The manner in which the petitioner assaulted the injured indicates the gravity of the offence with the intention to commit murder. The injuries have been caused to the vital part of the body. Hence, it is not appropriate to grant him bail. Making such a submission,



the learned counsel for the petitioner prayed to reject the petition.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the respective parties and perused the averments of the complaint, it would indicate that the incident had occurred on the spur of the moment. It appears that the petitioner had no intention to cause any injury or commit any murder. Having considered the nature of the injuries sustained, it is appropriate to grant him bail without adverting to the merit of the case. Hence, I proceed to pass the following:

#### ORDER

i) The petition is allowed.

(ii) The petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail in Crime No.190/2025 of Bandepalya Police Station for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 118(1) 115(2), 352 of Bharatiya Nayay Sanhita, 2023 on executing personal bond for a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with one surety for the like sum to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.



iii) The petitioner shall not threaten or tamper with the prosecution witnesses nor hamper the proceedings of the Court.

iv) The petitioner shall appear before the Trial Court on all hearing dates without fail.

vi) The petitioner shall not indulge in any criminal cases till disposal of the case.

In case the petitioner violates any of the bail conditions as stated above, liberty is reserved to the prosecution to file a necessary application for cancellation of bail.

**Sd/-**  
**(S RACHAIAH)**  
**JUDGE**

BKM  
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 14