



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 1951 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

SMT.SUSHEELAMMA
W/O G.LOKESHAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
R/AT ANIVALA VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI,
HOSADURGA TALUK,
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT-577554

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. GOPALAKRISHNAMURTHY.C.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT-577501
2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT-577501.
3. THE TAHASILDAR,
HOSADURGA TOWN AND TALUK,
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT-577527.
4. SMT.DRAKSHAYNAMMA
W/O LINGARAJAPPA,

Digitally
signed by
VANAMALA
N
Location:
HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS

5. SRI.PRAJWAL PATEL.B
S/O C.BASAVARAJU,
AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS,

PETITIONER NO.4 AND 5
BOTH ARE R/AT ANIVALA VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI, HOSADURGA TALUK,
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT-577554

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. B. P. RADHA, AGA)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE WRIT OF CERTIORARI BY QUASHING THE IMPUGNED ENDORSEMENT DATED. 13-11-2025 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.1 IN R.P.NO. 72/2025-26 AS PER VIDE ANNEXURE-A, AND ALSO RESPONDENT NO.2 IN R.A.NO.611/2024-25 DATED. 23-07-2024 AS PER VIDE ANNEXURE-B; ISSUE WRIT OF MANDAMUS BY DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT NO.1 TO 3 TO ENTER THE NAME OF THE PETITIONER IN THE COLUMN NO.12 OF THE RTC BY CONSEQUENTLY ALLOWING THE APPEAL AND REVISION FILED BY THE PETITIONER IN R.A.NO.611/2024 DATED.NIL VIDE ANNEXURE-C BEFORE THE RESPONDENT NO.2 AND R.P.72/2025 DATED.28-10-2025 VIDE ANNEXURE-D BEFORE THE RESPONDENT NO.1.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS



ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate takes notice for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Notice to respondent Nos.4 and 5 is not necessary for the following reasons.

2. Aggrieved by the rejection of the application filed by the petitioner, who had sought for regularisation of unauthorised occupation of 3 acres of land in Sy. No.28 of Anivala Village, Hosadurga Taluk, Chithradurga District, the petitioner filed an appeal before the Assistant Commissioner in R.A.No.611/2024-25 as found in Annexure C. However, the appeal was rejected on the ground that the appeal is filed under Section 136(2) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 [for short, '*the Act*'] and such an appeal under that provision cannot be entertained having regard to the grievance of the petitioner. Thereafter, the petitioner approached the Deputy Commissioner filing a revision petition under Section 136(3) of the Act which was also rejected on the same grounds.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave of this Court to withdraw the petition and file an appeal before the Assistant Commissioner under Section 49 of the Act.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands ***dismissed as withdrawn*** while reserving liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

RB