



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN
WRIT PETITION NO. 2049 OF 2025 (LB-BMP)

BETWEEN:

SMT. L. MARY MALATHI
AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS
D/O LATE SRI A LOUIS
RESIDING AT NO.21
AHMED SAIT ROAD
NEAR MORE MARKET
FRAZER TOWN
BANGALORE - 560 005.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. NAGESH R.K., ADVOCATE FOR
SIR. BHARADWAJ K.R., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
REVENUE DEPARTMENT, M. S. BUILDING
DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR ROAD
BANGALORE - 560 001.
2. THE COMMISSIONER
BRUHATH BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE (BBMP)
BANGALORE - 560 001.
3. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
BBMP, WARD NO.24
BANGALORE - 560 001.
4. SRI L. AROKIA DAS
S/O LATE A. LOUIS
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
R/AT NO.21, AHMED SAIT ROAD
NEAR MORE MARKET, FRAZER TOWN
BANGALORE - 560 005.

Digitally
signed by
GEETHA P G
Location:
HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



5. SRI L. XAVIER BABU
S/O LATE A. LOUIS
AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS
R/AT NO.21, AHMED SAIT ROAD
NEAR MORE MARKET, FRAZER TOWN
BANGALORE - 560 005.
6. SRI L. ANTHONY MATHEW
S/O LATE A. LOUIS
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS
R/AT NO.21, AHMED SAIT ROAD
NEAR MORE MARKET, FRAZER TOWN
BANGALORE - 560 005.
7. SMT. L. ROSY NALINI
D/O. LATE A. LOUIS
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS
R/AT NO.21, AHMED SAIT ROAD
NEAR MORE MARKET, FRAZER TOWN
BANGALORE - 560 005.

DELETED AS PER ORDER DATED 25.11.2025

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. SPOORTHY V., HCGP FOR R1;
SMT. SUMAN BALIGA M., ADOCAT FOR R2 & R3;
SRI. MADAN GOPAL, ADOCAT FOR R4 TO R6;
V/O DATED 25.11.2025 PETITION WAS DISMISSED IN R/O R7)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR A SUITABLE DIRECTION OR A WRIT IN LIKE NATURE DIRECTING RESPONDENT NOS.2 AND 3 TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION DATED 28-08-2024 GIVEN BY THE PETITIONER VIDE ANNEXURE-H AND PASS SUITABLE ORDER TO DIRECT RESPONDENT NOS.2 AND 3 AUTHORITIES TO INITIATE PROCEEDINGS AS PROVISIONS OF THE BBMP ACT 2020 AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THROUGH PHYSICAL HEARING/VIDEO CONFERENCING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN



ORAL ORDER

1. Petitioner and respondent nos.4 to 6 are said to be neighbors. There is a mutual allegation made by them against each other alleging that they have put up construction in violation of the building bye-laws and the sanctioned plan.

2. Learned counsel for respondent nos.2 and 3-Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike (BBMP), now Greater Bengaluru Authority (GBA), upon instructions submits that, both petitioner as well as respondent nos.4 to 6 have put up construction in violation of building bye-laws and the sanctioned plan.

3. Under the said circumstances, the writ petition stands ***disposed of*** with a direction to respondent nos.2 and 3-GBA to initiate appropriate action in accordance with law against petitioner as well as respondent nos.4 to 6 and demolish the illegal construction constructed by them.

**Sd/-
(M.I.ARUN)
JUDGE**