



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 22<sup>ND</sup> DAY OF APRIL, 2026**

**PRESENT**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI**

**AND**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K**

**WRIT APPEAL NO. 317 OF 2016 (S)**

**C/W**

**WRIT APPEAL NO. 2538 OF 2014**

**IN WA No. 317/2016**

**BETWEEN:**

THE KARNATAKA STATE AGRO CORN  
PRODUCTS LIMITED.,  
A COMPANY INCORPORATED UNDER THE  
PROVISIONS OF THE COMPANIES ACT, 1956  
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE  
AT HEBBAL, BANGLAORE  
REP BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI. RAMACHANDRAN K, ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. DR M S PARTHASARATHY  
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,  
S/O LATE M.S.SAMPATH  
R/O 759, II BLOCK,  
V MAIN, 8TH CROSS, R.T.NAGAR,  
BANGALORE-32
2. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA  
BY ITS SECRETARY,  
AGRICULTURE AND  
HORTICULTURE DEPARTMENT,





M.S.BUILDING,  
BANGALORE-560 001

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. HALES R.G, ADVOCATE FOR R1,  
SMT. PRATHIBHA K.R, AGA FOR R2)

THIS WRIT APPEAL IS FILED U/S 4 OF THE KARNATAKA  
HIGH COURT ACT PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED  
07.08.2014 PASSED BY THE LEARNED SINGLE JUDGE IN THE  
REVIEW PETITIONER NO.332/2014 IN WRIT PETITION  
NO.11658/2006(S) AND ALLOW THE PRAYER IN THE REVIEW  
PETITION AND ETC.

**IN WA NO. 2538/2014**

**BETWEEN:**

THE KARNATAKA STATE AGRO CORN  
PRODUCTS LIMITED,  
A COMPANY INCORPORATED UNDER THE  
PROVISIONS OF THE COMPANIES ACT, 1956,  
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE,  
AT HEBBAL, BANGALORE,  
REP. BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR.

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI. RAMACHANDRAN K, ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. DR M.S PARTHASARATHY  
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,  
S/O LATE M S SAMPATH,  
R/O 759, II BLOCK,  
V MAIN, 8 CROSS,  
R T NAGAR,  
BANGALORE-32.
2. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA BY ITS SECRETARY  
AGRICULTURE AND  
HORTICULTURE DEPARTMENT,  
M.S BUILDING,



BANGALORE-560 001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. HALESHA R.G, ADVOCATE FOR R1,  
SMT. PRATHIBHA K.R, AGA FOR R2)

THIS WRIT APPEAL IS FILED U/S 4 OF THE KARNATAKA  
HIGH COURT ACT PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER  
PASSED IN THE WRIT PETITION NO.11685.2006 DATED  
05/08/2011.

THESE APPEALS, COMING ON FOR HEARING, THIS DAY,  
JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI  
and  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI)

Despite the matter being called out twice, no one  
appears for the writ appellants.

2. The order under challenge in W.A.No.317/2016 is  
dated 07.08.2014 passed by the learned Single Judge in  
R.P.No.332/2014 in W.P.No.11685/2006.

3. A perusal of the impugned order reflects that  
the learned Single Judge, after considering the order of  
the Division Bench in another writ appeal filed against the



order of this Court in the writ petition, was of the opinion that the learned Single Judge had overlooked certain glaring circumstance and therefore the appellant was permitted to withdraw the appeal and file the review petition.

4. The learned Single Judge was of the opinion that no glaring circumstance was overlooked. It was observed that the primary contention that the writ petitioner was not a government servant had been addressed and it had been specifically held that he was a government servant. It was therefore held that there was no point to be reviewed and the review petition was dismissed.

5. No writ appeal would lie against such an order passed in a review petition. The appeal is misconceived and is therefore ***dismissed***.



6. For the detailed reasoned order passed in  
W.A.No.317/2016, writ appeal No.2538/2014 is  
***dismissed.***

**SD/-  
(JAYANT BANERJI)  
JUDGE**

**SD/-  
(RAJESH RAI K)  
JUDGE**

CR/List No.: 1 Sl No.: 9