



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVASHANKAR AMARANNAVAR
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 49 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

SANDEEP K. L.
S/O LATE KRISHNAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 27 YEARS,
R/AT AVADADENAHALLI VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
BENGALURU-562106.

...APPELLANT

(BY SMT. N. PADMAVATHI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY SURYANAGAR POLICE STATION,
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,
BENGALURU 560001.
2. PADMARAJU
S/O LATE THIMMAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
R/AT MARASOOR VILLAGE,
(INDIRANAGAR), KASABA HOBLI,
ANEKAL TALUK,
BENGALURU-562106.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. M.R. PATIL, HCGP FOR R1;
R2 SERVED)

THIS CRL.A IS FILED UNDER SECTION 14(A)(2) OF
SCHEDULE CASTE AND SCHEDULE TRIBE (PREVENTION OF
ATROCITIES) ACT PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED





09.08.2024 PASSED BY THE II ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND SPECIAL JUDGE, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT, BENGALURU IN SPL.CASE.NO.342/2024 AND GRANT BAIL TO THE APPELLANT IN CR.NO.109/2024 OF THE SURYANAGAR POLICE, ANEKAL TALUK, BENGALURU DISTRICT, NOW PENDING IN SPL.C.NO.342/2024 OF THE II ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND SPECIAL JUDGE, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT, BENGALURU, FOR THE OFFENCES PUNISHABLE UNDER SECTION 302, 201, 120(B), 109, 143, 144, 149 OF IPC AND SECTION 3(2)(VA) OF SC AND ST (POA) ACT, 1989 BY IMPOSING ANY CONDITION OR CONDITIONS.

THIS APPEAL COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVASHANKAR AMARANNAVAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

The appellant has filed this appeal challenging the order dated 09.08.2024 passed in a Special Case No.342/2024 by II Additional District and Sessions Judge and Special Judge, Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru, where under the bail application of this appellant/accused No.2 came to be rejected.



2. The appellant earlier had challenged the said order before this Court in Criminal Appeal No.1715/2024. The said appeal came to be dismissed by judgment dated 17.12.2024 giving liberty to the appellant to file fresh bail application after receipt of C.D. containing CCTV footage.

3. The appellant herein has not exercised the said liberty. But the appellant has challenged the very same order in this appeal again. Therefore, the present appeal is not maintainable. Hence, the Criminal Appeal is ***dismissed.***

4. The appellant is at liberty to make a fresh bail application before the Special Court.

Sd/-
(SHIVASHANKAR AMARANNAVAR)
JUDGE

KLV
List No.: 5 SI No.: 16