



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 23<sup>RD</sup> DAY OF APRIL, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 1083 OF 2022 (GM-DRT)**

**BETWEEN:**

M/S PRADHYUN'S GROUP  
HAVING OFFICE AT NO. 3416,  
1<sup>ST</sup> FLOOR, 13<sup>TH</sup> MAIN  
2<sup>ND</sup> STAGE, RAJAJINAGAR  
BENGALURU -560 010  
MR. PUNITH GOWDA  
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. KRISHNAMURTHY N.D., ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

THE AUTHORIZED OFFICER  
BANK OF BARODA  
SHANTHINAGAR  
BANGALORE- 560 099

...RESPONDENT



THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 05.01.2022 PASSED IN CRL.MIS.NO.2425 OF 2020 BY THE HON'BLE 24<sup>TH</sup> ADDITIONAL CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE AT BANGALORE UNDER PROVISION OF THE SECURITIZATION ACT AS PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-H AND FURTHER RESTRAIN THE RESPONDENT BANK FROM PROCEEDING UNDER THE SARFAESI ACT.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR 'DISMISSAL', THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI



**ORAL ORDER**

This writ petition is filed in the year 2022. Conditional interim order was granted on 31.01.2022 . Thereafter the matter came up on 22.04.2026. So far process is not paid for issuance of notice to the respondents and there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner on 22.04.2026. Hence, this matter is directed to be listed under the caption for "dismissal" on 23.04.2026.

Today also there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. The petitioner is enjoying the interim order from 2022 without even paying the process fee for issuance of notice to respondents. It appears that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the matter.

Accordingly, the writ petition is ***dismissed for non-prosecution.***

**SD/-  
(LALITHA KANNEGANTI)  
JUDGE**

TS  
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 58