

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 10TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2013

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.N.KESHAVANARAYANA

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.271 OF 2013

BETWEEN:

MR.KALAPUTRA
S/O.VENKANAGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 23 YEARS
R/AT.HARAPANNAHALLI
HARAPANNAHALLI POST
DAVANAGERE DIST

... APPELLANT

[BY SRI.BRIJESH PATIL, ADV.,]

AND:

1. STATE BY HARAPANAHALLI POLICE
REP BY
STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
BANGALORE
2. MR.MALTHESH
S/O.SAMBAIAH
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS
3. MR.RAGHAVENDRA
S/O.SAMBAIAH
AGED ABOUT 26 YEARS

RESPONDENTS 2 AND 3 ARE
R/O.ANJANEYA EXTENSION
HARAPANAHALLI TALUK

DAVANAGERE DIST

...RESPONDENTS

THIS CRIMINAL APPEAL IS FILED UNDER SECTION 397 R/W 401 OF THE CR.P.C. WITH A PRAYER TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED: 31.12.2008 PASSED BY THE JMFC, HARAPANAHALLI IN C.C.NO.669/2008 AND CONSEQUENTLY REMAND THE MATTER FOR FRESH TRIAL

THIS CRIMINAL APPEAL COMING FOR *ORDERS* ON THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

J U D G M E N T

In spite of grant of 8 adjournments for compliance of office objections, learned counsel for the appellant has not complied with the office objections.

Even today, when the matter is listed for orders regarding non-compliance of office objections, learned counsel for the appellant sought for grant of some more time.

Having regard to the fact that already eight adjournments have been granted for compliance of office objections and since, till today office objections have not complied with, there are no justifiable grounds to grant further time. Therefore, appeal by the informant before

the police against the judgment of acquittal is ***dismissed***
for non-compliance of office objections.

SD/-
JUDGE

SS*