



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 1154 OF 2025 (SCST)

BETWEEN:

SMT. C. SHRIDEVI
W/O MUNISWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
RESIDING AT MANDIBELE VILLAGE,
MANDIBELE POST,
VIJAYAPURA HOBLI,
DEVANAHALLI TALUK,
BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT-562 135.

...PETITIONER

(BY SMT. SUMATHI S., ADVOCATE (ABSENT))

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
M.S.BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560 001,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT,
BEERASANDRA VILLAGE,
KUNDANA HOBLI,
DEVANAHALLI TALUK-562 110.
3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
DODDABALLAPURA SUB-DIVISION,
DODDABALLAPURA-561 203.





4. M. NAGARAJU,
S/O MUNISHAMAPPA,
AGED ABOUT YEARS,
GANGAVARA BEEDI, MANDIBELE VILLAGE,
MANDIBELE POST, VIJAYAPURA HOBLI,
DEVANAHALLI TALUK,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT-562 135.
5. CORPORATION BANK
REP BY ITS MANAGER,
DEVANAHALLI BRANCH,
DEVANAHALLI-562 110.
6. R. KESHAV
S/O RAMACHANDRAPPA,
AGED ABOUT YEARS,
MANDIBELE VILLAGE,
VIJAYAPURA HOBLI,
DEVANAHALLI TALUK,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT-562 135.
7. KONERU SATHYANARAYANA
S/O KONERU LAKSHMAIAH,
AGED ABOUT YEARS,
R/AT NO.212, BELLARY ROAD,
SADASHIVANAGAR,
BENGALURU-560 080.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. NAVYA SHEKHAR, AGA FOR R1 TO R3)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASHING THE ORDER PASSED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT IN PTCL (DE) SR NO.29/2017-18 DT.06-07-2018 ANNEXURE-H CONFIRMED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT IN THE APPEAL IN LND.SC.ST.APPEAL NO.87/2018 BY AN ORDER OF DISMISSAL DT: 31-03-2023 VIDE ANNEXURE-K, BY ALLOWING THE ABOVE WRIT.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

On 11.02.2026 the following order was passed:

"Finally, two weeks time is granted to the petitioner for compliance of office objections, failing which, the writ petition shall be listed on 25.02.2026 for dismissal."

2. Matter is called out. There is no representation for the petitioner.

3. Office objections have not been complied with.

4. Consequently, the writ petition stands ***dismissed for non-compliance of office objections.***

**Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE**