



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S.KINAGI

WRIT PETITION NO. 314 OF 2023 (GM-DRT)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. VENKATESH. A. K.
S/O MR LATE SRI KRISHNAMA RAJU
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
R/AT NO.2, 8TH MAIN, SRI SAI BALAJI LAYOUT,
IOB BANK LANE, KODIGEHALI MAIN ROAD,
BALAJI LAYOUT BENGALURU- 560 092
2. SRI RAGHU A K
S/O MR LATE SRI KRISHNAMA RAJU,
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS
R/AT NO.2, 8TH MAIN,
SRI SAI BALAJI LAYOUT,
IOB BANK LANE,
KODIGEHALI MAIN ROAD,
BALAJI LAYOUT,
BENGALURU- 560092

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SANDHYA U PRABHU., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. KARNATAKA BANK LIMITED
REPRESENTED BY ITS AUTHORIZED
OFFICER/MANAGER
REGISTERED UNDER BANKING COMPANIES ACT,
ASSET RECOVERY MANAGEMENT BRANCH, 1ST





FLOOR, FKCCI BUILDING, KEMPEGOWDA ROAD,
BENGALURU- 560 009

2. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
MINISTRY OF SOCIAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA, BANGALORE- 560 001
3. THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
KARNATAKA RESIDENTIAL EDUCATIONAL
INSTITUTIONAL SOCIETY,
NO.179, 1ST AND 3RD FLOOR
ROOPA COMPLEX, 1ST MAIN ROAD
SHESHADRIPURAM
BANGALORE- 560 020

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. ANISH ACHARYA., FOR C/R1 [CP No.20808/2022;
SRI. YOGESH D. NAIK, AGA FOR R2)

THIS WP FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO-QUASH THE SALE
NOTICE DTD 05.12.2022 ISSUED BY RESPONDENT BANK
PROPOSING SALE OF THE PROPERTY ON 18.01.2023, IN
RESPECT OF SCHEDULE PROPERTY, AS PER ANNEXURE-G.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S.KINAGI



ORAL ORDER

1. The petitioner filed this writ petition challenging the sale notice dated 05.12.2022 issued by the respondent bank proposing sale of the property on 18.01.2023, in respect of schedule property vide Annexure-G.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent bank submits that the sale could not take place because of the want of bidders. Hence, he submits that the writ petition has become infructuous.
3. Submission is placed on record.
4. As the petitioner has challenged the sale notice and the sale did not take place due to lack of bidder. Hence, the writ petition filed by the petitioner rendered infructuous.
5. Accordingly, I proceed to pass the following order:

ORDER

- i. The writ petition is ***dismissed as having rendered infructuous.***



- ii. A liberty is reserved to the petitioner to challenge the action of the respondent, if any.
- iii. Pending IAs, if any, shall stand disposed of.

Sd/-
(ASHOK S.KINAGI)
JUDGE

SKS