

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

[ANANDA. A. S. VS. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA AND
OTHERS]

09.01.2026

(VIDEO CONFERENCING / PHYSICAL HEARING)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

Sri Girish B Baladare, the learned counsel for the petitioner, is heard on the petitioner's grievance with the respondents' drive to demolish the buildings adjoining a *road*, and the learned counsel submits that these proceedings are undertaken without acquisition or notice of any encroachment or opportunity to the petitioner to show cause that no public property is encroached.

Sri Sidharth Babu Rao, the learned Additional Government Advocate, submits that he is tentatively instructed that the demolition is undertaken based on the petitioner's consent; that a portion of a wall of the subject property is demolished and that any further demolition would endanger the existence of the old building and therefore the demolition is stopped.

When queried on the process including the details of the notice if any issued to the petitioner, the learned

Additional Government Advocate submits that he must secure detailed instructions.

In the light of the afore, this Court is of the considered view that there should not be any further precipitation without the leave of this Court, and the interim order is granted in the afore terms to be followed without any room for precipitation. This order shall be in force until the next date of hearing.

It is clarified that this interim order shall not impede the respondents from issuing notice of encroachment if there is reason for the same.

The office has directed to re-list this petition on **19.02.2026.**

(B M SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE

AN/-

List No.: 2 Sl No.: 2