



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM

WRIT PETITION NO. 628 OF 2026 (GM-POLICE)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI GIRIJESH G M
S/O LATE G. D. MARIYAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS
RESIDING AT
R/AT NO.3924, 1ST CROSS,
OPP. KEB OFFICE, SUBHASH NAGAR
VTC, NELAMANGALA
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT-562123
2. SRI. MANOJ G. G.
S/ O GIRIJESH G. D.
AGED ABOUT 25 YEARS
RESIDING AT
R/AT NO.3924, 1ST CROSS,
OPP. KEB OFFICE, SUBHASH NAGAR
VTC, NELAMANGALA
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT-562123
3. SRI. ROHINI KUMAR G. M.
S/O LATE G. D. MARIYAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
RESIDING AT
R/AT NO.3924, 1ST CROSS,
OPP. KEB OFFICE, SUBHASH NAGAR
VTC, NELAMANGALA
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT-562123



4. SRI. TARUN R.
S/ O ROHINI KUMAR G. M.
AGED ABOUT 24 YEARS
RESIDING AT
R/AT NO.3924, 1ST CROSS,
OPP. KEB OFFICE, SUBHASH NAGAR
VTC, NELAMANGALA
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT-562123
5. SRI. RAJU H.
S/O HANUMANTHARAYAPPA
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS
R/AT NO.3924, 1ST CROSS, OPP. KEB OFFICE
SUBHASH NAGAR
VTC, NELAMANGALA
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT - 562123.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SOMASHEKHARAIH. R.P., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY
HOME DEPARTMENT
VIDHANA SOUDHA
BENGALURU-560 001.
2. SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT,
NO.5, MILLERS ROAD,
BENGALURU-560 052.
3. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER
NELAMANGALA RURAL POLICE STATION
NELAMANGALA SUB DIVISION
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT
BENGALURU-560 123.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. K.P. YOGANNA.,AGA)



THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE R1 TO R3 TO TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION ON THE COMPLAINT LODGED BY THE PETITIONER NO. 2 DATED 11.12.2025 UNDER ANNEX-G RESPECTIVELY TO TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM

ORAL ORDER

The complaint alleging commission of a cognizable offence has not been presented before the jurisdictional Police Station. Instead, the petitioner has directly approached respondent No.2 by submitting a complaint as per Annexure-“G”.

2. It is well settled, and this Court has consistently held, that an aggrieved person must first lodge a written complaint before the jurisdictional Police Station disclosing the commission of a cognizable offence. In the absence of such a complaint being submitted to the jurisdictional Police Officer (respondent No.3), the present petition is liable to be rejected as being devoid of merit.



Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not preclude the petitioner from approaching the jurisdictional Police Station and lodging an appropriate complaint in accordance with law.

SD/-
(SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM)
JUDGE

ALB
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 58