



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.G.S. KAMAL

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 294 OF 2026 (439(Cr.PC) /
483(BNSS))

BETWEEN:

MR. NOOR ALAM
S/O YUSUF NADAF,
AGED ABOUT 22 YEARS,
RESIDING AT-
MIRZAPURA (RAMPURA) VILLAGE,
SINGAVARA POST AND STATION,
DHARBANG DISTRICT,
BIHAR STATE - 847 106.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. NATARAJ D.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

STATE OF KARNATAKA BY
YELAHANKA POLICE STATION,
REP BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
OFF/AT HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
BANGALORE-560 001.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SMT. ASMA KOUSER, ADDL. SPP A/W
SMT. ANITHA GIRISH, HCGP)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S 439 CR.PC (FILED U/S 483 BNNS) PRAYING TO GRANT REGULAR BAIL U/S. 483 OF BNSS, 2023, CC.NO.71242/2025 (CR.NO.372/2025) REGISTERED AT BENGALURU CITY FOR THE OFFENCES P/U/S. 64 OF BNS WHICH IS PENDING BEFORE THE HONBLE XXIX ADDL. CJM, BENGLAURU CITY AND ETC.





THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.G.S. KAMAL

ORAL ORDER

Accused-petitioner in Crime No.117/2025 registered before the Yelahanka Police Station, Yelahanka Sub-division, Bangalore City for the offences punishable under Sections 69, 3(5) of BNS, Act, 2023 before this Court seeking regular bail.

2. Case of the prosecution is that a complaint dated 02.04.2025 came to be filed by the complainant. Contents of which are as under;

ಗೆ,
ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಸಬ್‌ಇನ್ಸ್‌ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್,
ಯಲಹಂಕ ಪೊ. ಠಾಣೆ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರ

ಇಂದ

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೀರ್ತಿ ಆ% ಸುರೇಶ ಚಂಡಾಲೇ - 38 ವರ್ಷ
ವಾಸ- 213 Ward No.1 gunjkheda sorta
Deoli wardha, Maharashtra
Ph.No-8010796456.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೆ

ವಿಷಯ : ನೂರ್ ಆಲಂ ಎಂಬುವವರ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ದೂರು.

ನಾನು ಈ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಳಾಸದಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಹಿಂದೆ
ಇಸ್ಲಾಮ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ (Noor-Nayan 89) ನೂರ್ ಆಲಂ ಎಂಬುವವರು



ಪರಿಚಯವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 26ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನಂದು ನನಗೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಕೊಡಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ ಎಂದು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ ಬರಲು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅದರಂತೆ ನಾನು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ ಕೆಂಪೇಗೌಡ ವಿಮಾನ ನಿಲ್ದಾಣಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದು ಆತನು ಏರ್ಪೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಬಂದು ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಬಿಕೆನಲ್ಲಿ ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಯಾವುದೋ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲದ ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೆ ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ ರೂಮ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಹುಡುಕುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ನನ್ನನ್ನು 26-3-25 ರಿಂದ 28-3-25 ರವರೆಗು ಅದೇ ರೂಮ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಇರುವಂತೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಹೋಗಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ ನಂತರ ಕೆಲಸ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಏರ್ಪೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ ಈ ಮಧ್ಯೆ ಅವನ ರೂಮ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಜನರಿದ್ದು ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹ ಒಬ್ಬನ ಹೆಸರು ರಾಜೇಶ್ & ಇನ್ನೊಬ್ಬ ರವಿ ರವರು ನನ್ನನ್ನು ರೇಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಕೋಪಿಸಿ ಹೊರಗೆ ಹೋಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ ಇನ್ನೊಬ್ಬನು ಸಹವಿದ್ಯೆ ಅವನ ಹೆಸರು ನನಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನೂರ್ ಆಲಂ ಎಂಬುವವನು ಮದುವೆಯಾಗುವುದಾಗಿ & ಕೆಲಸ ಕೊಡಿಸುವುದಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂರು ದಿನಗಳ ಕಾಲ ದೈಹಿಕ ಸಂಪರ್ಕ ಮಾಡಿ ನಂತರ ಅವನೇ ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ ಏರ್ಪೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ.

ಈ ದಿನ ನಾನು ಮುಂಬೈನಿಂದ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ನೂರ್ ಆಲಂ ರವರ ಮೊಬೈಲ್ ಗೆ ಕರೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಸ್ವಿಚ್‌ಆಫ್ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಅಸಭ್ಯವಾಗಿ ವರ್ತಿಸಿ ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಮದುವೆಯಾಗುವುದಾಗಿ ನಂಬಿಸಿ, ಕೆಲಸ ಕೊಡಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ವಂಚಿಸಿ ನನ್ನೊಂದಿಗೆ ದೈಹಿಕ ಸಂಪರ್ಕ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸದರಿ ನೂರ್ ಆಲಂರವರ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮಾನ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಹಿಂದಿ ಭಾಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದನ್ನು
ಕನ್ನಡಕ್ಕೆ ತರಜುಮೇ ಮಾಡಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ
ಸಹಿ

ಹಿಂದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದನ್ನು ಕನ್ನಡಕ್ಕೆ
ಅನುವಾದಿಸಿ ಬರೆದಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ
ಸಹಿ
7996105097

3. Based on the above complaint accused herein and other accused were also arrested. Investigation is completed and the charge sheet is filed.



4. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the age of the accused No.1 is 22 years, whereas age of the complainant is 38 years. He submits no *prima facie* case is made out against the accused of he or accused Nos.2 and 3 allegedly committing the offence at his instance. He submits that in the charge sheet the prosecution is not clear as to the place of incident. That it was a consensual act, inasmuch as, even according to the allegation in the complaint, they got acquainted over Instagram and she had come all the way from Maharashtra to Bengaluru. As such, the complaint averments do not make out a case of offence having been committed under Section 69 of the BNS, Act. He submits that the accused Nos.3 to 4 have already been released on bail. Since the charge sheet is filed there is no requirement of custodial interrogation of the accused. Therefore, seeks for grant of regular bail.

5. Learned Addl. SPP on the other hand opposing the petition submitted that during the investigation the prosecution has found and identified the place of offence and the owner of the place has been cited as a charge sheet witness.



6. On a query by this Court, it is submitted that the complainant is a resident of Maharashtra and she can be kept present before the Court as and when required. It is further submitted that the accused-petitioner hails from Bihar and if he is let free on bail, it would be difficult to secure him for the trial. Hence, seeks for rejection of petition.

7. Heard. Perused the records.

8. Offence alleged is stated to have taken place between 26.03.2025 to 28.03.2025. Cause title of the petition indicate that petitioner is from Bihar. Complaint averments indicate that the victim is from Maharashtra. Even according to the complaint averments, the victim had voluntarily come from Maharashtra to Bangalore on a purported promise of marriage and securing the job which allegedly misused by the accused-petitioner. The age of the petitioner herein is shown as 22 years while the age of the complainant is shown as 38 years. Whether he really promised to marry her as well as obtaining employment needs to be determined during the trial. Since charge sheet is already filed, considering the allegations made in the complaint as noted above, this Court deems it



appropriate to grant the bail as sought for subject to following conditions;

- i. Criminal Petition is ***allowed***.
- ii. The accused/petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail in Crime No.117/2025 registered before the Yelahanka Police Station, Yelahanka Sub-division, Bangalore City for the offences punishable under Sections 69, 3(5) of BNS, Act, 2023 before, subject to the following conditions:

a) the accused/petitioner shall execute personal bond for a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with one local surety for the likesum, to the satisfaction of the jurisdictional Court;

b) He shall appear regularly on all the dates of hearing before the Trial Court unless the Trial Court exempts his appearance for valid reasons;

c) He shall not directly or indirectly threaten or tamper with the prosecution witnesses;

d) He shall not involve in similar offences in future;



**NC: 2026:KHC:22972
CRL.P No. 294 of 2026**

e) He shall not leave the jurisdiction of the Trial Court without permission of the said Court until the case registered against him is disposed off.

**SD/-
(M.G.S. KAMAL)
JUDGE**

RU
List No.: 3 SI No.: 1