



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

REVIEW PETITION NO. 10 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

SMT. T. CHAITANYA @ SUPRIYA
W/O. SRI.M.RAVI,
D/O T.RAMUDU
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS
R/AT NO.50/348 L 28A,
ARORA NAGAR 'B' CAMP,
KURNOORL-518 002, KURNOOL DISTRICT.
ANDHRA PRADESH

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. H.R ANANTHA KRISHNA MURTHY, ADVOCATE)

AND:

SRI. M. RAVI
S/O M. VENKATESHWARULU @ VENKATESH,
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
R/AT NO.616, 7TH CROSS,
CHENNAKESHA NAGAR, HOSA ROAD,
ELECTRONICS CITY POST,
BENGALURU-560 100.

...RESPONDENT

THIS REVIEW PETITION IS FILED UNDER ORDER 47 RULE 1
R/W SEC. 114 OF CPC, PRAYING TO CALL FOR RECORDS AND
REVIEW THE ORDER DATED 9.8.2024 PASSED IN MFA NO.8202/2018
(FC) IN THE INTERESTS OF JUSTICE AND CONSEQUENTLY ALLOW
THE MISC FIRST APPEAL.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER
WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K





ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI)

ORDER ON I.A.NO.1/2025

I.A.No.1/2025 is filed seeking condonation of delay of 75 days in filing the petition. The cause shown for the delay in filing the petition is sufficiently explained in the affidavit filed in support of the application. The I.A.No.1/2025 is accordingly ***allowed.***

ORDER ON REVIEW PETITION

2. This review petition has been filed by the wife, who was the respondent in MFA No.8202/2018. By means of the judgment dated 19.08.2024 passed in the aforesaid MFA, the appeal filed by the husband was allowed, the judgment passed by the I Addl. Principal Judge, Family Court, Bangalore in M.C.No.4444/2014 dated 08.08.2018 was set-aside and the petition seeking divorce was allowed by dissolving the marriage of the parties under Section 13(1)(ia) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

3. Though the review of the judgment and order dated 19.08.2024 is sought in the instant petition, however, learned counsel has orally stated that he confines his submission only



to the extent that no maintenance has been granted by the Appellate Court in the aforesaid MFA.

4. It is noted that the judgment in the MFA allows the petition filed under Section 13(1)(ia) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 dissolving the marriage entered into between the parties. We find no error apparent on the face of the record warranting interference.

5. Moreover, the right of filing an application for maintenance/alimony is available to the eligible persons under the provisions of Section 25 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, which can be availed of before the Family Court/Competent Court concerned.

6. For the reason aforesaid too, no ground for review is made out. This petition is therefore ***dismissed***.

**SD/-
(JAYANT BANERJI)
JUDGE**

**SD/-
(RAJESH RAI K)
JUDGE**