



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 605 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. D. NAGARAJU
S/O DODDA KONGALIAH,
AGE-71 YEARS,
2. GOWRAMMA,
W/O LATE HUCHAIAH,
AGE- 70 YEARS,
3. KARIYAPPA
S/O LATE HUCHAPPA,
AGE- 52 YEARS,
4. NAGARAJU
S/O LATE HUCHAPPA,
AGE- 50 YEARS,
ALL ARE R/O OF KODIHALLI VILLAGE
BYRAMANAGALA POST, BIDADI HOBLI,
TQ AND DIST-RAMANAGARA - 562 159.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SMT. GIRIJA PATIL B., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT,
RAMANAGARA - 562 159.

Digitally signed
by
SHARADAVANI
B
Location: High
Court of
Karnataka



2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
RAMANAGARA SUB-DIVISION,
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT
RAMANAGARA - 562 159.
3. THE TAHASILDHAR,
RAMANAGARA TALUK,
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT,
RAMANAGARA - 562 159.
4. THE SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER
GREATER BANGALORE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY,
3RD FLOOR, KANDAYA BHAVANA,
B.M. ROAD, RAMANAGARA - 562 159.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. B.P. RADHA, AGA FOR R1 TO R3)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT NO.3 TO CONSIDER APPLICATION VIDE ANNEXURE F, F1, F2 DATED 09.12.2025 AND G DATED 29.12.2026 FILED BY THE PETITIONER NO.1 FOR MAKING INCLUSION OF DELETED LAND MEASURING 1 ACRE 10 GUNTAS OF SY.NO.84 OF BYRAMANGALA VILLAGE, BIDADI HOBLI, RAMANAGARA TALUK, RAMANAGARA DISTRICT. IN THE NAMES OF PETITIONER NO.1 AND HIS BROTHER LATE HUCHAPPA S/O DODDAKONGALAIAH IN RTC BEARING SY.NO.84 FROM THE PERIOD 2011-2026 AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate takes notice for respondent Nos.1 to 3. Notice to respondent No.4 is not necessary for the following reasons:

2. The grievance of the petitioners is that despite several representations and applications being given to the respondent - Tahsildar, Ramanagara Taluk as found at Annexure-F series and Annexure-G, to correct the extent of land in the RTC in Sy.No.84 of Bidadi Hobli, Ramanagara Taluk as 1 acre 10 guntas, no action has been taken by the respondent- Tahsildar.

3. Learned Additional Government Advocate submits on instructions that in Sy.No.84 there are many kathedars and there is a vast difference between the extent of land as shown in the Akarband when compared to the RTCs and therefore it has become difficult for the Tahsildar to proceed.



4. Having regard to the facts narrated herein above, this Court is of the considered opinion that the Tahsildar cannot keep quiet receiving the applications. He has to act in accordance with law. If there is any dispute between the neighboring landowners, then notices have to be issued to all concerned and action shall be taken in accordance with law. The entire exercise shall be completed as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Accordingly writ petition stands ***disposed of.***

Learned Additional Government Advocate is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

**Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE**

GPG
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 3