



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.147 OF 2023 (CS-RES)

BETWEEN:

SMT. KALPANA VENUGOPAL
W/O MR. VENUGOPAL,
AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS,
BHATTARMAKKI,
KUNDAPUR TALUK,
UDUPI DISTRICT - 576 201.

...PETITIONER

(BY DR. S. ARUMUGHAM, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE ASSISTANT REGISTRAR OF
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES
KUNDAPURA,
UDUPI DISTRICT - 576 201.
2. THE CHIEF MANAGER
ROSARY CREDIT CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETY LTD. (REGN. NO.19318)
TEMPLE ROAD,
KUNDAPURA TALUK,
UDUPI DISTRICT - 576 201.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. H.K. KENCHEGOWDA, AGA FOR R1;
SRI. LAKSHMIKANTH ARYA M., ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226
AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO
QUASH THE AUCTION SALE NOTICE OF THE PROPERTIES
MEASURING 11¹/₂ CENTS IN KUNDAPURA TOWN, UDUPI
DISTRICT DETAILED IN ITEM NOS.3 AND 4 VIDE COLUMN



NUMBERS 1 TO 11 OF THE SALE NOTICE DATED 14TH DECEMBER, 2022 VIDE ANNEXURE-F FOR AUCTION ON 03RD JANUARY, 2023 AT 11.00 A.M. IN THE BANK PREMISES OF THE RESPONDENT NO.2; AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Sri. Lakshmikanth Arya M., learned counsel entered appearance for respondent No.2.

2. In this petition, the petitioner is challenging the Auction Sale Notice dated 14th December 2022 (Annexure-F) issued in respect of the property of the petitioner.

3. Heard Dr. S. Arumugham, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner; Sri. H.K. Kenchegowda, learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the respondent No.1 and Sri. Lakshmikanth Arya M., learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.2.

4. Sri. Lakshmikanth Arya M., learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.2 submits that the petitioner



herein has not complied with the interim order dated 11th January, 2023 passed by this Court.

5. Though this Court, by order dated 11th January, 2023, stayed the confirmation of sale, by imposing condition to deposit a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- within three weeks from the date of order, nothing is placed on record to show that the aforesaid order has been complied with by the petitioner.

6. Therefore, taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner has not complied with the interim order passed by this Court, so also, having perused the material on record, as there is no merit in the petition, Writ Petition is ***dismissed.***

Sd/-
(E.S. INDIRESH)
JUDGE

ARK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 50