

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

Case:- CPOWP No. 227/2017 in
OWP No. 227/2017

Ghulam Mohi Ud Din

.....Petitioner(s)

Through: None

Vs

Ashraf Mir & Ors

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Ms. Chetna Manhas, Assisting Counsel to
Mrs. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
22.09.2025

01. The instant contempt petition arises out of the judgment/order dated 22.02.2017 passed in OWP No. 227/2017 in which the writ petition preferred by the petitioner was disposed of with the following direction:-

“The petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents that they shall accord consideration to the case of the petitioner as projected and to pass appropriate orders as shall be warranted in accordance with the rules. The decision whatever taken shall be conveyed to the petitioner within six weeks. Right is reserved to the petitioner to re-agitate subject to survival of cause”.

02. Since the aforesaid order was not complied with by the respondents, the instant contempt petition has been preferred in which notice was issued on 18.12.2017.

03. Ms. Chetna Manhas, Assisting Counsel appearing vice Mrs. Monika Kohli, learned Sr. AAG on behalf of the respondents submits that the respondents have filed the fresh compliance report before the Registry today, however,

the same is not traceable. A copy of the same has been furnished to this Court, which is taken on record. From bare perusal of the compliance report, it has been found that the order/judgment passed by the Court mentioned supra stands complied with and in this regard a detailed consideration order stands passed, which has been placed on record along with the compliance report, whereby the claim of the petitioner stands rejected being devoid of any merit.

04. I have perused the order of consideration passed by the respondents and this Court is of the opinion that the judgment/order passed by this Court stands complied with as the direction passed by this Court was specific to the extent of according consideration to the case of the petitioner as projected in the writ petition in accordance with the rules.
05. Since the direction passed by this Court stands complied with and a detailed consideration order dated 20.08.2025 has been passed, no fruitful purpose will be served to keep this instant contempt petition alive.
06. In view of the aforesaid backdrop, the proceedings in the instant contempt petition are **closed**. Rule, if any, stands **discharged**.

(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE

JAMMU
22.09.2025
Vijay