

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
(IN THE COURT OF REGISTRAR JUDICIAL AT JAMMU)

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

WP(C) No. 3786/2025
...Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

Through: Mr. Sumant Sudan, Assisting Counsel
Vice Mr. Vishal Sharma, ASGI
Vs

TANISHQ SINGH AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Umer Shakeel, Advocate

ORDER
24.04.2026

1. Hon'ble Court vide its order dated 30.12.2025, had directed the issuance of notice to the respondents.
2. Notices were issued to the respondents through registered post on 20.03.2026.
3. Meanwhile, the track consignment reports bearing No. EE804419925IN and EE804419934IN dated 01.04.2026 reflected that the summons were returned to the sender for want of sufficient address on 24.03.2026, which was reflected as "Item Delivered" as on 27.03.2026. Due to an inadvertent error, order dated 01.04.2025 reflected the service of the respondents bearing endorsement "Item Delivered" which led to the noting that the service was deemed to have been effected upon the respondents, whereas the same had been returned due to insufficient address.
4. As per the report of the concerned clerk, dated 24.04.2026, notices issued to the respondents through registered post on 20.03.2026 have been received back un-served with the report of the postal serving authority that no such person was found at the given address. The un-served notices are attached with the file.
5. Today, Mr. Umer Shakeel, learned Counsel, caused his appearance on behalf of both respondents and submitted that he has filed a power of attorney on on their behalf on 30-03-2026; however the same is under objection due to certain defects.

Since, the matter has been listed twice before this Court, the same be placed before the Hon'ble Court in the week commencing from 11.05.2026 for appropriate orders.

(Swati Gupta)
Registrar Judicial

JAMMU
24.04.2026
Kushal