

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

**WP(C) No. 3786/2025
CM No. 8618/2025**

Union of India and othersAppellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Vishal Sharma, DSGI with
Mr. Sumant Sudan, Advocate.

vs

Tanishq Singh and another Respondent(s)

Through: Mr.

**Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNESH OSWAL, JUDGE
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MOKSHA KHAJURIA KAZMI, JUDGE.**

**ORDER
30.12.2025**

1. Besides contending that the learned Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to adjudicate the service dispute qua the employees of Railway Protection Force, Mr. Vishal Sharma, learned DSGI submits that the order impugned has been passed by the learned Tribunal without affording any opportunity to the petitioners to file response to the claims projected by the respondents in their application.
2. A perusal of the record reveals that the OA was filed by the respondents on 18.06.2025 and the same was disposed of vide order dated 25.06.2025. Though, presence of the learned counsel for the petitioners has been reflected in the order impugned, but the order impugned has been passed in absence of response of petitioners.
3. *Prima facie* case for indulgence is made out.
4. Issue notice to the respondents, subject to petitioners' taking necessary steps within a period of one week.
5. List on **27.02.2026**.

6. In the meantime, subject to objections from the other side and till next date of hearing before the Bench, operation of the order impugned shall remain stayed.

(MOKSHA KHAJURIA KAZMI)
JUDGE

(RAJNESH OSWAL)
JUDGE

Jammu
30.12.2025
Sahil Padha

