

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

**SWP No. 1720/2018
Along with Connected matters**

Davinder Kumar

.....Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Karman Johal, Advocate
In SWP No. 879/2018
Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate
In SWP No. 398/2018
Mr. Mohd. Israr, Advocate
In SWP No. 1720/2018, SWP No. 712/2018, SWP
No. 614/2018
Mr. S. S. Ahmed, Advocate
In SWP No. 1920/2018
Mr. Lawanya Sharma, Advocate
In SWP No. 1789/2018
Mr. Imran Ahmed Rather vice
Mr. L. K. Pandita, Advocate
In SWP No. 1751/2018, SWP No. 1839/2018
Mr. Achal Sharma, Advocate
In SWP No. 1652/2018, SWP No. 1745/2018, SWP
No. 1849/2018
Mr. Sajeed Ahmed, Advocate
In SWP No. 1669/2018 for P-118 and 119
Mr. Agam Gandotra, Advocate vice
Mr. Abhinav Sharma, Sr. Advocate
In SWP No. 1687/2018

vs

State of J&K and others

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. S. S. Nanda, Sr. AAG
Ms. Rozina Afzal, Advocate.

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAVED IQBAL WANI, JUDGE

**ORDER
07.07.2025**

1. Upon coming of instant matters for consideration, it came to be noticed that in all the petitions, orders dated 21.04.2018 issued by the Chairman, Supporting Staff Selecting Committee under MGNREGA has been thrown challenge fundamentally on the premise that the said impugned orders have the effect of disengagement of the petitioners

having been purportedly engaged as supporting staff under MGNREGA Scheme. It is further noticed that in some of the petitions reply stand filed by the respondents and in some of the petitions, reply is yet to be filed.

2. However, upon insistence of the contesting parties in SWP No. 879/2018 titled as “*Haroon Ahmed Vs. State Th. Rural Development Dept. and others*” the same came to be taken up for consideration, in that, the pleadings in the petition are complete, in order to determine the controversy in the instant petition, as in essence, the decision in the instant petition would determine the fate of rest of the petitions.
3. However, after concluding the arguments in the instant petition by the appearing counsel for the parties, the appearing counsel for the parties in rest of the petitions, wherever pleadings are not complete, shall be free either to complete pleadings or to make their respective submissions, in view of the similarity of the controversy in the petitions with the instant petition. Thus, in furtherance of above, the appearing counsel in the said matters shall remain present on the next date of hearing without any fail.
4. List all these matters for further consideration on **10.07.2025** as case No. 1.
5. Record produced by Mr. S. S. Nanda, learned senior AAG is retained.

(JAVED IQBAL WANI)
JUDGE

Jammu
07.07.2025
Sahil Padha