

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR
AT JAMMU**

**Case No. CRM(M) No.508/2019
CrIM(1225/2019)
CrIM(1224/2019)**

Date of order: 17.09.2019

Surinder Dogra

...Petitioner(s)

Through :- Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate

v/s

State and others

...Respondent(s)

Through :- None.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TASHI RABSTAN, JUDGE

ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that complainant has not mentioned the name of the petitioner in the complaint filed before the Police Station, Women Cell, Gandhi Nagar, Jammu. He further contends that even there is no allegation against the petitioner in the FIR except that he was accompanying the main accused being his friend. It is also contended that main accused has already approached this Court by way of CRM(M) 429/2019 wherein this Court vide order dated 16.08.2019 directed the respondents not to file the challan in case FIR No. 0053/2019 dated 10.08.2019, however, the respondent No.1 was directed to go ahead with the investigation.

Be that as it may, issue notice to the respondents. Notice in CrIM as well.

Meanwhile, subject to objections from the other side and till next date of hearing, investigation in case FIR No.0053 dated 10.08.2019 registered at Police Station, Peer Mitha, Jammu qua the petitioner shall remain stayed.

List on 30.09.2019 along with CRM (M) No.429/2019.

(Tashi Rabstan)
Judge

Jammu
17.09.2019
'Madan-PS'

