

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

Case:- CCP(S) No. 540/2019 in
SWP No. 1166/2012

Ajit Singh

.....Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Mohsin Bhatt, Advocate.

Vs

Ajit Kumar Sahu, Secretary PHE Deptt. and
others

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Amit Gupta, AAG.

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAHUL BHARTI, JUDGE

ORDER
(01.07.2024)

1. Compliance report submitted in the case to put it simply is without any factual content and is just carrying sound effect. This Court reminds it to the Chief Engineer Jal Shakti/I & FC Department that if compliance to court direction is sought to be reported from his end with respect to a direction given then the name of compliance means fact based status report and not self serving statement that the compliance has been carried out.
2. This Court directs Mr. Amit Gupta, learned AAG to come up with the fact based compliance report in which manner compliance has been carried out given the fact that the petitioner is struggling with his claim for service related benefits and effects earned in terms of a judgment dated 21.12.2017 passed in writ petition SWP No. 1166/2012 meaning thereby writ cause is twelve (12) years old.
3. List for the needful be done at the end of the Chief Engineer Jal Shakti/I & FC Department concerned, failing which, this Court shall be constrained to direct his personal appearance at the risk and cost of the department.
4. List on 20.07.2024.

(RAHUL BHARTI)
JUDGE

JAMMU
01.07.2024
Shivalee