

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

Case: WP(C) No. 2743/2024
CM No. 7023/2024

Kamal Singh and Anr.

..... Petitioner(s)

Through :- Ms. Diksha Thappa, Advocate

Vs

U.T. of J&K and Ors.

.....Respondent(s)

Through :- Ms. Aparna Gupta, Advocate vice
Mrs. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG for R-1 to 3
Ms. Pallavi Sharma, Advocate vice
Mr. Ravinder Gupta, AAG for R-5
Mr. Vipin Gandotra, Advocate for R-4

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
03.02.2025

CM No. 7023/2024

It has been brought to the notice of this Court by the learned counsel for respondent no. 4 that he has filed an application seeking permission of this Court for deleting respondent no. 4 from the array of respondents on the ground that in the instant case the Executing Agency is the Border Road Organization and not the National Highway Authority of India which has wrongly been impleaded as a party respondent which requires to be deleted from the array of respondents, as according to the learned counsel for respondent no. 4, neither the National Highway Authority of India has acquired the land nor has control over the stretch of land.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant/respondent no. 4.

For the reasons stated in the application coupled with submissions made at Bar, the same is allowed. Respondent no. 4 is deleted from the array of respondents.

Registry is directed to update the cause title.

In the meantime, on the oral request of learned counsel for the respondent no. 4, Commanding Officer Border Road Organization is arrayed as a newly added party as respondent no. 4.

WP(C) No. 2743/2024

Let the response be filed within a period of four weeks positively.

List on 18.03.2025.

JAMMU
03.02.2025
Mihul



(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE