

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

WP (C) No. 2818/2023 c/w
CCP (S) No. 399/2025

Vijay Kumar and Another

.....Applicant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through :- Mr. Mudasir Maqbool, Advocate

v/s

Veena Kumar @ Veena Kaisar & Others

.....Respondent(s)

Through :- Ms. Chetna Manhas, Assisting counsel to
Mrs. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MOKSHA KHAJURIA KAZMI, JUDGE

ORDER
04.05.2026

WP (C) No. 2818/2023

Objections have already been filed on behalf of Respondent No. 7.

Ms. Chetna Manhas, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Respondents 5 to 7 submits that since there is an *inter se* dispute between the private parties, there is no requirement to file reply on behalf of Respondents 5 & 6. Her statement is taken on record. ***Ordered accordingly.***

Perusal of the physical file would reveal that the objections have also been filed by Respondents 1 to 4. However, the same are not scanned.

Registry is directed to digitize the objection, so filed by Respondents 1 to 4 by or before the next date of hearing for the perusal of this Court.

List on **08.07.2026.**

CCP (S) No. 399/2025

Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to take requisite steps for the service of respondents within a period of one week. Subject to filing of requisite steps, notice be issued to the respondents, returnable within a period of four weeks.

List as above.

(Moksha Khajuria Kazmi)
Judge

JAMMU
04.05.2026
Manan