

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

Case No:- CRM(M) No. 939/2023
CrIM NO. 1857/2023

Suresh Kumar

....Petitioner (s)

Through :- Mr. Arjun Pathania, Advocate.

V/s

UT of J&K & ors.

....Respondent(s)

Through :-

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE

ORDER
04.11.2023

The short grievance projected by the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the impugned FIR has been registered against the petitioner on false and fabricated facts which is a counter blast to save respondent No. 3 from the consequences and is abuse of process of law and is required to be quashed.

It is further stated that the impugned FIR has been registered by the respondent No. 2 in connivance with the private respondents as from the plain reading of the impugned FIR, no offence is made out against the petitioner but the concerned police despite being fully aware of the facts has got registered the impugned FIR against the petitioner for some extraneous consideration and the same has been registered with malafide


intention on the part of the respondents No. 3 and 4 to force a forced compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner at length and perused the record.

Issue notice to the respondents in the main petition as also in the application.

List again on 22/12/2023.

In the meantime subject to objections and till next date of hearing, it is ordered that the respondents shall be at liberty to carry on the investigation in the impugned FIR bearing No. 66 of 2023 dated 16.08.2023 registered with the Police Station, Majalta under Sections 323, 341, 504, 506, 34 IPC. However, the challan shall not be produced till further orders from this court.



(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE

Jammu:
04.11.2023
Naresh/Secy.