

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH  
AT JAMMU**

**Case: CPOWP No. 29/2014 IN  
OWP No. 1751/2013**

Govind Ram

..... Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

Through :- Mr. Manik Bhardwaj, Advocate

**Vs**

Rahis Ahmed Mir

.....Respondent(s)

Through :- Mr. Sumeet Bhatia, GA

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE WASIM SADIQ NARGAL, JUDGE**

**ORDER**  
**01.04.2026**

**01.** The instant contempt petition arises out of an order/judgment dated 08.01.2014 passed by this Court in OWP No. 1751/2013, whereby the writ petition preferred by the petitioners was disposed of at the threshold with a direction to respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to register a case, if after verification, allegations found in the complaint preferred by the petitioners against respondent No. 3 were prima facie found to be substantiated.

**02.** Since the aforesaid direction was not complied with, the instant contempt petition has been preferred.

**03.** It is surprising that the direction which was required to be complied with within a period of two weeks was not implemented even after a lapse of more than 12 years. Prima facie, the respondents are in recurring contempt. Furthermore, even no compliance report has been filed by the respondents

apprising this Court as to whether the said direction has been carried to its logical conclusion or not.

**04.** Today, when the matter was taken up, Mr. Sumeet Bhatia, learned GA has caused appearance on behalf of the respondents and assured the Court that the order passed by this Court shall be complied with. He accordingly seeks one week's time to come up with the compliance of the aforesaid order, which has assumed finality.

**05.** In view of the assurance extended by learned counsel for the respondents, no fruitful purpose will be served to keep the contempt petition alive and, accordingly, proceedings in the instant contempt petition are closed. Rule, if any, shall stand discharged.

**06.** The respondents are directed to comply with the order/judgment of the learned writ Court, as referred to hereinabove, within a period of one week.

**07.** It is made clear that in case aforesaid order is not complied with within the stipulated period, the petitioner shall be at liberty to revive the instant contempt petition and in that eventuality, this Court will be constrained to initiate coercive measures against the concerned respondent.

**08.** *Disposed of*, accordingly.

**(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**JAMMU**  
01.04.2026  
Mihul