

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH  
AT JAMMU**

CCP(S) No. 317/2025  
In [WP(C) No. 1145/2024]

Kewal Singh and others

.....Applicant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through :- Mr. Rajdeep Singh Thakur, Advocate

v/s

Anil Kumar Singh and others

.....Respondent(s)

Through :- Ms. Chetna Manhas, Advocate vice  
Mrs. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MOKSHA KHAJURIA KAZMI, JUDGE**

**ORDER**

**17.04.2026**

1. By this petition, the petitioners, herein, allege non compliance of the order dated 07.03.2025. The operative part of the order reads as under:

*“16. In view of the above, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioners have every right to receive the compensation in lieu of their lands consumed by the respondents for the purpose of construction of road from Galli to Dhuril via Pachal in Panchayat Kanthi, Tehsil and District, Ramban. Accordingly, it is directed that the respondents shall initiate and complete the acquisition proceedings in accordance with the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act/Rules for grant of compensation to the petitioners in lieu of their lands consumed by them for construction of road from Galli to Dhuril via Pachal in Panchayat Kanthi, Tehsil and District Ramban and pay due compensation to them in accordance with law. The entire exercise be completed within a period of six months from the date a copy of this order is available with the respondents. The respondent No.9 is deleted from the array*

*of the respondents in view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioners.”*

2. From the above, it is apparent that respondents were under an obligation to initiate and complete the acquisition proceedings in accordance with the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act/Rules for grant of compensation to the petitioners in lieu of their lands taken by the respondents for construction of road, and the entire exercise was directed to be completed within a period of six months.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that copy of the order dated 07.03.2025 was duly served upon respondents on 03.04.2025 and six months expired on October 6, 2025. However, till date, respondents have not taken any action in compliance with the judgment dated 07.03.2025.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents Mr. Ravinder Gupta, AAG states that he has filed statement of facts, wherein respondents have stated that they have yet to take a call to implement or otherwise to assail the order passed by this court dated 07.03.2025.

5. It is clear that respondents have not complied with the order dated 07.03.2025, despite passing of more than twelve months from the date of order.

6. In view of the above, last and final opportunity of four weeks is granted to respondents for filing fresh compliance strictly in consonance with order dated 07.03.2025, failing which, respondents 1 and 5 shall appear in person in court or through virtual mode on the next date of hearing.

7. List on 19.05.2026.

**(Moksha Khajuria Kazmi)**  
**Judge**