

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU

CJ Court

Case: CCP (D) No. 49/2025 in
(LPA No. 90/2024)

Arun Kumar Dutta. ...Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

Through: Mr. Vikram Arora, Advocate.

V/s

Naseer Ahmed, MD JKPCC and ors. Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Ravinder Gupta, AAG.

**CORAM: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNESH OSWAL, JUDGE.**

ORDER(ORAL)
24.09.2025

01. Vide order and judgment dated September 11, 2024, the Coordinate Bench had allowed the appeal preferred by the petitioner:

'In view of the above, this appeal to the extent aforesaid is allowed and the judgment impugned in this appeal is modified by providing that whatever amount is ultimately found due to the appellant on account of his retiral benefits shall be disbursed to the appellant along with interest @6% per annum reckoned w.e.f. 30th June, 2020 till the amount is actually disbursed to the appellant.'

02. At the outset, Mr. Ravinder Gupta, learned Additional Advocate General, submits that the decision rendered by the Division Bench (*ibid*) has partially been complied with. For a substantial amount has already been released in favour of the petitioner. However, as regards interest, he submits that the same, too, shall be disbursed to the petitioner within two weeks from today.

03. That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of in terms of the

statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents. However, he submits that in the event, the balance amount is not released by the respondents within reasonable time, he be granted liberty to move an appropriate application in this petition itself for its restoration and appropriate orders.

04. Ordered accordingly.

05. The contempt petition shall stand closed with liberty as prayed for.

(RAJNESH OSWAL)
JUDGE

(ARUN PALLI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Jammu
24.09.2025
Sunita/PS

