

Regular List
Serial No. 15

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

SWP No. 1664/2012
IA No. 2542/2012

Gunjan Devi

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate.

Vs

State of J&K & Ors.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raman Sharma, AAG.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAHUL BHARTI, JUDGE

ORDER
(24.04.2026)

01. During pendency of writ petition due to subsequent developments, the cause and purpose of the writ petition has been rendered infructuous but a thin hope of the petitioner that an outcome from the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India related to ReT matters may be providing a scope for petitioner to pursue her cause.

02. Whether that option would be available to the petitioner is for the petitioner to figure out but, nevertheless, this Court **dismisses** this petition as having been rendered infructuous with liberty reserved in favour of the petitioner that in case, pursuant to the final verdict of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, the petitioner would reckon that the cause of action which led her to come up with the present writ petition gets re-birth, then a motion

for seeking restoration of the writ petition can be filed by the petitioner providing the same is done within a period of **90 days** from the date of verdict of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

03. Interim direction, if any whatsoever, shall stand vacated.

(RAHUL BHARTI)
JUDGE

JAMMU
24.04.2026

Bunty

