

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

SWP No. 773/2009

IA No. 1034/2009

Date of Pronouncement : 19.01.2026

Uploaded on : 20.01.2026

Mohd. Azam & Anr.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Firdous A. Tak, Advocate

Vs

State of J&K & Ors.

.....Respondents

Through: None

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAHUL BHARTI, JUDGE
JUDGMENT (ORAL)

- 01.** The writ petition was originally filed by four petitioners who had joined together with a purported similar cause of action relatable to selection process for Rehbar-e-Taleem under Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan during the year 2009-10 for the schools falling under Education Zone, Surankote, district Poonch.
- 02.** Out of four petitioners, the writ petition against three petitioners i.e. the petitioners No. 1, 3 and 4 stood dismissed on account of their respective withdrawal from the writ petition leaving the petitioner No. 2-Mohammad Azam only to pursue the matter.

- 03.** The tone and tenor of the writ petition was more petitioners No. 1 and 4's centric whereas with respect to the petitioner No. 2, his claim for being eligible to apply for the Rehbar-e-Taleem at SPS Khet was being pressed.
- 04.** Thus, the petitioner No. 2 was only asking for his eligibility to be upheld for applying to the post.
- 05.** During the pendency of the writ petition, Rehbar-e-Taleem as a scheme came to a Closure and therefore, the purpose of the writ petition for the petitioner No. 2 is also lost and, as such, writ petition is dismissed qua the petitioner No. 2-Mohammad Azam as well.
- 06.** The detailed order is following the order dated 13.02.2023 vide which the writ petition was ordered to be dismissed as is hereby being done.

(RAHUL BHARTI)
JUDGE

JAMMU
19.01.2026
SUNIL

Whether the order is speaking ? : Yes/No
Whether the order is reportable ? : Yes/No