



Serial No. 08 2026:JKLHC-JMU:2311
Regular List

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

WP(C) No. 2460/2019

Sita Devi

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. M.L. Gupta, Advocate.

Vs

Union of India & Ors.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Nazia Fazal, Assisting Counsel to
Mrs. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG for R-3 and 5
Mr. Rohan Nanda, CGSC for R-1, 2 & 4

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAHUL BHARTI, JUDGE
ORDER
(26.11.2025)

- 01.** Pursuant to the directions given by this Court in order dated 07.11.2025, relating to the production of record by the Naib Tehsildar, Panthal related to Khasra numbers 676 and 678 of village Kunkunayala, Panthal, Tehsil Katra and District Reasi, Naib Tehsildar-Sh. Balwant Singh along with Patwari-Sh. Sanjeev Kumar of Patwar Halqa Chandwal, Tehsil Katra, are present along with the 'Misal-e-Haqqiyat' record of 2008-09 perusal whereof would show that Khasra No. 676 in its present number was originally referred as Khasra No. 227 min. Likewise, Khasra No. 678 was also originally numbered as 227 min meaning thereby out of Khasra No. 227 min more than two Khasra numbers came to be born and two of which being 676 and 678.



02. In so far Khasra No. 676 is concerned, 04 marlas of land is '*Banjar Qadeem*' related to Karan Singh, Bachitar Singh, Puran Singh and Makhan Singh as shareholders. Khasra No. 678 is 05 marlas showing '*Gair Mumkin Dukanat*' by reference to Karan Singh, Bachitar Singh, Puran Singh and Makhan Singh but in use and occupation of Sham Singh son of Karan Singh.
03. Thus, there is no scope to doubt the fact that Khasra No. 678 comprising of 05 marlas of village Kunkunayala, Panthal is on record relatable to Sham Singh son of the petitioner.
04. The occupation of the premises obtaining at the site of 05 marlas of land in Khasra No. 678 is with CRPF Personnel who are housed therein on the basis of authorization granted by the local District Administration.
05. Mr. Rohan Nanda, learned CGSC submits that it is for the District Administration to deal with the matter of assessment and payment of rent and is of no concern for the CRPF Establishment for the time being.
06. When pointed out by this Court to Mr. M.L. Gupta, learned counsel for the petitioner that in absence of Sham Singh, son of petitioner, the writ petition may not be taken to its logical end, as such, Mr. M.L. Gupta, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would lay a motion for getting Sham Singh son of the petitioner impleaded as co-petitioner.
07. Let the needful motion be laid for enabling this Court to make disposal of this writ petition with appropriate directions to the District Administration as well as Home Department, Government of UT of J&K, for the purpose



of assessment of the rent for use and occupation of the shops in Khasra No. 678 for enabling its payment to the occupant-Sham Singh, in case the owners do not come forward staking their claim for the rent of the site as well as of the structures.

08. List again on **15.12.2025**.
09. The presence of the concerned Naib Tehsildar-Sh. Balwant Singh and Patwari-Sh. Sanjeev Kumar is dispensed with.
10. In the meantime, the respondents are at liberty to come forward with their respective reply to the writ petition.

JAMMU
26.11.2025
SUNIL

