

**HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU**

Case: WP(C) No.2276/2023

Kannu Verma & Ors.

.....Petitioner(s)

Through: Mr. Ankur Sharma, Advocate

Vs

Union of India & Ors.

..... Respondent(s)

Through: Mr. Vishal Sharma, DSGI

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY DHAR, JUDGE

ORDER
28.01.2026

1. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners has raised two fresh contentions which are not part of the pleadings in the writ petition. One is that the respondents have, in similar circumstances, accepted the certificates of some of the candidates that had been issued after the cut-off date and the second contention is that at the time of document verification, it was on the asking of the respondents that the petitioners produced fresh category certificates that had been issued after the cut-off date, though the petitioners were in possession of the requisite certificates issued before the cut-off date.
2. Since aforesaid two grounds projected by the learned counsel for the petitioners and do not find mention in

the writ petition, as such, he seeks permission to file a supplementary affidavit. The permission is granted and the petitioners are at liberty to file the supplementary affidavit within a period of one week, with advance copy to the learned counsel for the respondents, who shall respond thereto by the next date of hearing.

3. List on **04.03.2026**.

(Sanjay Dhar)
Judge

Jammu
28.01.2026
Sneha

